



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_52530
Title of the Manuscript:	Study the Impact of Integrated Farming System on Reducing Cost of Cultivation and Increasing Income of Farmers of Chatra District of Jharkhand
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper cannot be published in its present form as it lacks necessary components such as literature review, research questions, methodology and so on. It is totally lacking in academic rigor in its current form and must, therefore, be rejected. The author is encouraged to rewrite the paper in the form of a case study, by adding some rich description of the nature and scope of progressive farming and adding suitable links to relevant theory and literature.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Walsh
Department, University & Country	R.M.I.T. University Vietnam, Saigon South campus, Vietnam