



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_52531
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating The Performance Of Different Management Practices For Control Of Leaf Curl Disease Of Chili In Kharif Season Of Chatra District In Jharkhand
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Evaluating The Performance Of Different Management Practices For Control Of Leaf Curl Disease Of Chili In Kharif Season Of Chatra District In Jharkhand." is an important piece of work describing the Performance Of Different Management Practices For Control Of Leaf Curl Disease Of Chili. This type of work is new. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. Figures and Tables are presented properly. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Most of the references in the manuscript are old. Include recent references in the revised manuscript. 2. Follow the reference pattern of journal for references. 3. Key words are absent. Provide Keywords should be minimum five and meaningful words. 4. Separate the results and discussion. 5. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>). 6. Provide botanical name of the plant in the title. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Evaluating The Performance Of Different Management Practices For Control Of Leaf Curl Disease Of Chili In Kharif Season Of Chatra District In Jharkhand." is an important piece of work describing the Performance Of Different Management Practices For Control Of Leaf Curl Disease Of Chili. This type of work is new. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. Figures and Tables are presented properly. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Most of the references in the manuscript are old. Include recent references in the revised manuscript. 2. Follow the reference pattern of journal for references. 3. Key words are absent. Provide Keywords should be minimum five and meaningful words. 4. Separate the results and discussion. 5. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>). 6. Provide botanical name of the plant in the title. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Evaluating The Performance Of Different Management Practices For Control Of Leaf Curl Disease Of Chili In Kharif Season Of Chatra District In Jharkhand." is an important piece of work describing the Performance Of Different Management Practices For Control Of Leaf Curl Disease Of Chili. This type of work is new. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. Figures and Tables are presented properly. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Most of the references in the manuscript are old. Include recent references in the revised manuscript. 2. Follow the reference pattern of journal for references. 3. Key words are absent. Provide Keywords should be minimum five and meaningful words. 4. Separate the results and discussion. 5. The full plant authority should be mentioned when discussing about the plant material. In 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>this regard, in each case that you aim to discuss about your plant, it should be reported for example as: <i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (not <i>Borago officinalis</i>).</p> <p>6. Provide botanical name of the plant in the title.</p>	
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>R. K. Lal</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, India</i>