



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_52614
Title of the Manuscript:	CELEBRITY SELF-DISCLOSURE AND SOCIAL COHESION: SOCIAL PRESENCE AND CELEBRITY ATTACHMENT PERSPECTIVES.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In general this is an article from the results of research that is quite complete and good. THERE WERE NO SIGNIFICANT REVISIONS. There are only a few explanations that need to be given for the review comments that have been written in the article by the reviewer.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the most important phenomenon of this research so that research results will make an important contribution and provide a novelty for social science? Convey this in the abstract. 2. Are the attachments meant by Ainsworth and Bowlby suitable for use in this context? The attachment that is built between the child and the mother or parent also involves physical contact. While the relationship between celebrities and fans is just an emotional relationship. 3. Complete the references with the appropriate writing rules. 	
Optional/General comments	-	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>	36% https://ev.turnitin.com/app/carta/en_us/?lang=en_us&o=1204841117&u=1074595764	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Asti Meiza</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia</i>