



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_52658
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of ethephon and storage temperature on physico-chemical changes during ripening of mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.) Cv. Neelum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I'm checked the manuscript; it has many flaws. The wording is not clear; the summary does not bring anything interesting. The introduction is supported by obsolete bibliography, i.e. it is not recent information from the last 10 years. The methodology is not clear and precise, it presents serious flaws. There is no experimental design. If methodological failures are observed, the results are unreliable. In the results sections, there is no serious discussion that is supported by research relevant to the topic and recent, does not explain why. The presentation of the unsuitable graphics. The conclusion does not respond specifically to the objective set. This manuscript is very similar to a report of professional practices of any student, not compliant for a scientific article.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rosendo Balois Morales
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autonoma de Nayarit, México