



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_52767
Title of the Manuscript:	Predicting the Output of a Hydraulic Ram Pump
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I recommend a review of the English written. In the attached file I have highlighted some parts for verification.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I consider the manuscript a technical work with relevant application.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
<b>If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.</b>	There is another paper from the same authors with similar subject, but with different results. Drink. Water Eng. Sci., 12, 59–64, 2019 <a href="https://doi.org/10.5194/dwes-12-59-2019">https://doi.org/10.5194/dwes-12-59-2019</a> © Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.  The analysis of the present paper has another focus. This results from Turnitin 20% of similarity with the same authors. I consider this similarity index acceptable, since the similarity is with another work of the same authors.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>João Batista Campos Silva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Unesp - Universidade Estadual Paulista, Brazil</b>