



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_53271
Title of the Manuscript:	SMALLHOLDER FARMERS' PERCEPTION ON MOBILE PHONE ADVISORY POTENTIAL IN FARMING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title of the article may be revised. District and state should be mentioned in the title itself. 2. Line no. 27: 'Sub-marginal' may be replaced by 'small' as there is no category of sub-marginal. 3. Table 1: 3. Farming Experiences: First Category '>10 to ≤11' should be replaced by 'up to 11'. 4. Table 1: 4. Annual Income: Last Category '>5.0 to ≤6' should be replaced by 'more than 5.0'. 5. Line No. 104 to 106: Reference Okello <i>et al.</i> 2010 should be deleted as it has no meaning in present paragraph. Accordingly same should be deleted from reference portion. 6. Table 5 should be deleted as the information presented in Table 5 is already presented in Table 4. 7. Line 140: 'making in farming' should be deleted. 8. Reference style should be as per the journal guidelines. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Govind Pal
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Seed Science, India