Productivity and economics of cotton-wheat cropping system as affected by complex fertilizer of ammonium phosphate containing sulphur and zinc

Abstract

An experiment was conducted during 2012 - -14 at Ludhiana, Punjab in order ~~to find out the~~evaluate the productivity and economics of cotton-wheat cropping system as affected by complex fertilizer of ammonium phosphate containing sulphur and zinc. The cotton and wheat crops were cultivated as per agronomic practices recommended under irrigated condition. The experiment comprised of 9 ~~treatments~~nutrient sources arranged in an RCBD and replicated thrice. The ~~investigation~~ study clearly demonstrated that cotton yield and stalk yield of cotton was higher in T_9 (15.3 q/ha and 50.5 q/ha respectively in 2012 and 22.2 q/ha and 55.6 respectively in 2013) was significantly at par T_6 (15.0 q/ ha and 50.4 q/ha respectively in 2012 and 22.1 q/ha and 55.4 q/ha in 2013) and similar trend was found in wheat crop where T_9 shows significantly higher grain yield and straw yield (39.0 q/ha and 63.0 q/ha respectively in 2012 and 45.6 q/ha and 73.6 respectively in 2013) was significantly at par T_6 (38.5 q/ ha and 62.8 q/ha respectively in 2012 and 44.4 q/ha and 72.4 q/ha in 2013) as compare to other treatments. Net return and B:C ratio was higher in T_8 and T_9 for cotton and wheat respectively as compared with other nutrients sources. ~~in cotton crop but in wheat crop net return and B:C ratio was maximum in T_9 as compare with other treatments.~~

Key words: Phosphorus, Sulphur, Zinc, Net return, B: C ratio, Cotton and Wheat

Introduction~~:~~

-Phosphorous (P), Sulphur (S) and Zinc (Zn) has been recognized as prime important essential plant nutrients next to N and K required for optimum plant growth []. Improper supply of these nutrients leads to considerable reduction in crop productivity. Majority of the Punjab's soils are medium to high in P, S and Zn []. The efficiency of applied P rarely exceeds 30 per cent and that of micronutrient more than 10 per cent []. Therefore, repeated application of phosphorus over the years, lead to its build up and interactions in soil and/or plants affecting agricultural production. Sulphur is removed by crops in large quantities owing to its indispensable role in plant nutrition. It plays great role in sustaining growth, yield and quality of crops, particularly pulses and oilseeds. Moreover, continuous use of DAP as P source instead of single super phosphate has led to growing sulphur nutrition problems in the Indian soils and crops [].

Comment [CK1]: Revise the statements to suit your study

Comment [CK2]: This sentence is too long revise it and split it

Formatted: Subscript

Comment [CK3]: This section needs to be clear as it is the only section that attract readers and it is the first section to be seen once published. So make it strong and revise it

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Comment [CK4]: Source of information

Comment [CK5]: Source of information

Comment [CK6]: Source of information, once you report on number from literature provide references

Comment [CK7]: How does it affect the agriculture???

Comment [CK8]: Source of information

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Continuous removal of S by crops, low use of S as mostly S free fertilizers are used and low S status of most of the Indian soils are major constraints in S nutrition management. Moreover, deficiency of one nutrient will certainly reduce the efficiency of the other nutrient applied. Hence, it may be worthwhile to apply S and P together, which may boost up the use efficiency of the both due to positive and synergistic interaction between the two elements.

Zinc is removed by crops in large quantities owing to its indispensable role in plant nutrition. It plays important role in sustaining yield and quality of crops. The need for applying micronutrient fertilizers to soils of Punjab was first felt with the appearance of Zn deficiency in rice and wheat. The adoption of intensive agriculture in irrigated areas involving cultivation of high yielding crop varieties, use of high analysis micronutrient fertilizers, decreased use of organic manures and crop residues, resulted in depletion of finite micronutrients reserves due to bumper harvests. The deficiency of Zn is mainly associated with soil having coarse texture, high pH, low organic carbon and high calcium carbonate (Takkar *et al.* 1999). Zinc plays an important role in plant metabolism like development of cell wall, respiration, photosynthesis, chlorophyll formation, enzyme activity and other bio-chemical functions. Amongst all the micronutrients Zn deficiency continues to be one of the key factors in determining the crop production. Crops utilize only a small quantity of the applied Zn for their normal growth. The considerable amount of Zn remains in soil, which can be utilized by the subsequent crops. For sustaining high productivity and increasing the efficiency of applied Zn fertilizers, it is essential to determine the frequency of its application under different cropping systems. Hence, it may be worthwhile to apply P, S and Zn together, which may boost up the use efficiency of these elements.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site

The experiments was conducted at Research Farm, Department of Soil Science, Punjab Agricultural University (PAU), Ludhiana, Punjab, India. The site is located at (coordinates here), with the elevation of (????) metres above sea level. This region belongs to C_4 climate zone characterized by hot air conditions []. The soils at PAU Farm were classified as Samana, coarse loamy, non-calcareous, typic ustochrepts [].

Planting materials

Ankur 3028 cotton variety and PBW 621 wheat variety were used in the experiment. The variety were sourced……. (you can add the the traits of the variety used)

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.39"

Comment [CK9]: Source of information or is it person communication

Comment [CK10]: You need to revise the this section I see incomplete sentences while others are not clear

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0", Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: Not at -0.25" + 0.39"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Comment [CK11]: Source of information

Comment [CK12]: Source of information

Formatted: Font: Bold

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Experimental set up

The soil samples of ~~selected~~ the experimental field were sampled at a depth of …… and analyzed for available P (Olsen *et al* 1954), available S (0.15% $CaCl_2$ extractable) and DTPA-Extractable Zn with the method described by Lindsay and Norvell (1978). The cotton and wheat crops were cultivated as per agronomic practices recommended under irrigated condition by Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana (). The full dose of P and K was applied at the time of sowing of cotton and wheat crops. In cotton ½ of the N was applied at the time of thinning and remaining ½ of N was broadcasted at the time of flowering. In wheat ½ of the N was applied at the time of sowing and remaining ½ of N was broadcasted at the time of 1st irrigation. The basic detail of experiments conducted is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Basic details of the experiments conducted during 2012-14

Crop	**Cotton**		**Wheat**	
Growing season	2012	2013	2012-13	2013-14
Variety	Ankur 3028	Ankur 3028	PBW 621	PBW 621
Date of sowing	28 April	30 April	19 Dec.	14 Nov.
Date of harvesting/ picking	18 October, 7 Nov., 23 Nov.	7 Sept., 8 October, 5 Nov.	20 April	20 April

~~To study the effect of ammonium phosphate containing sulphur and zinc complex fertilizer on productivity of cotton-wheat cropping system with nine~~ Nine ~~treatments~~ nutrient source experiment was arranged in a randomized block design and ~~each treatment was~~ replicated thrice. —The performance of complex fertilizer was compared with equivalent amount of popular fertilizer sources of P (DAP), S (gypsum) and Zn ($ZnSO_4$). The details of experimental treatments are presented in ~~table~~ Table 2~~1~~. Nitrogen applied through APSZ (ammonium phosphate containing sulphur and zinc complex fertilizer N12:P40:K0:S10:Zn1) and DAP (Diamminium phosphate) was compensated. The source of N and K was urea and muriate of potash, respectively. Source of P was DAP and APSZ. Source of S was gypsum and APSZ. Source of Zn was zinc sulphate ($ZnSO_4.7H_2O$) or APSZ.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Comment [CK13]: Source of information

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted Table

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0", Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: Not at -0.25" + 0.39"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 21. Details of experimental treatments

~~Treatment with~~Nutrient Source		Cotton			Wheat		
		P (P_2O_5)	S	Zn	P (P_2O_5)	S	Zn
		kg ha^{-1}					
T_1	Control (N+ K)	0	0	0	0	0	0
T_2	DAP(P30)	30	0	0	30	0	0
T_3	DAP(P30)+S7.5	30	7.5	0	30	7.5	0
T_4	DAP(P20)+S5+Zn0.5	20	5.0	0.50	20	5.0	0.50
T_5	DAP(P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75	30	7.5	0.75	30	7.5	0.75
T_6	DAP(P60)+S15+Zn1.5	60	15.0	1.50	60	15.0	1.50
T_7	AP20+S5+Zn0.5	20	5.0	0.50	20	5.0	0.50
T_8	AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75	30	7.5	0.75	30	7.5	0.75
T_9	AP60+S15+Zn1.5	60	15.0	1.50	60	15.0	1.50

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted Table

Comment [CK14]: You can also opt for Nutrient source combination

Formatted: Font: Bold

~~Nitrogen applied through APSZ (ammonium phosphate containing sulphur and zinc complex fertilizer N12:P40:K0:S10:Zn1) and DAP (Diamminium phosphate) was compensated. The source of N and K was urea and muriate of potash, respectively. Source of P was DAP and APSZ. Source of S was gypsum and APSZ. Source of Zn was zinc sulphate ($ZnSO_4.7H_2O$) or APSZ.~~

~~The cotton and wheat crops were cultivated as per agronomic practices recommended under irrigated condition by Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana. The full dose of P and K was applied at the time of sowing of cotton and wheat crops. In cotton ½ of the N was applied at the time of thinning and remaining ½ of N was broadcasted at the time of flowering. In wheat ½ of the N was applied at the time of sowing and remaining ½ of N was broadcasted at the time of 1st irrigation. The basic detail of experiments conducted is presented in table 2.~~

~~Table 2. Basic details of the experiments conducted during 2012-14~~

~~Crop~~	~~Cotton~~		~~Wheat~~	
	~~2012~~	~~2013~~	~~2012-13~~	~~2013-14~~
~~Variety~~	~~Ankur 3028~~	~~Ankur 3028~~	~~PBW 621~~	~~PBW 621~~
~~Date of sowing~~	~~28 April~~	~~30 April~~	~~19 Dec.~~	~~14 Nov.~~
~~Date of harvesting/ picking~~	~~18 October, 7 Nov., 23 Nov.~~	~~7 Sept., 8 October, 5 Nov.~~	~~20 April~~	~~20 April~~

UNDER PEER REVIEW

~~The initial fertility status of experimental soils is represented in table 3. These soils were normal in soil reaction and salt concentration, low in available nitrogen and potassium, medium in available phosphorous, sufficient in available S and DTPA Zn.~~

~~Table 3. Nutrient status and chemical parameters experimental soils~~

~~Site~~	~~pH~~	~~EC~~	~~OC~~	~~Avail. N~~	~~Avail. P~~	~~Avail. K~~	~~Avail. S~~	~~Avail. Zn~~
		~~dSm^{-1}~~	~~(%)~~	~~(kg ha^{-1})~~			~~ppm~~	
~~Cotton-wheat (2012-13)~~	~~7.5~~	~~0.07~~	~~0.31~~	~~83.2~~	~~10.8~~	~~71.0~~	~~12~~	~~1.48~~
~~Cotton-wheat (2013-14)~~	~~7.5~~	~~0.14~~	~~0.33~~	~~86.3~~	~~13.4~~	~~85.0~~	~~9.2~~	~~1.55~~

Comment [CK15]: These are results so they should be placed in the R&D

Data collection

Formatted: Font: Bold

Data was collected from the following parameters……..

Comment [CK16]: This is the section where you will explain the model /equations used for calculation

Statistical analysis

The data on such parameter…… were subjected analysis of variance (ANOVA)……

Comment [CK17]: This section is where you will explain on how the data was handled in the analysis.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION ~~COTTON- WHEAT~~

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Initial soil results reveled normal in soil reaction and salt concentration, low in available nitrogen and potassium, medium in available phosphorous, sufficient in available S and DTPA-Zn (Table 3).

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Comment [CK18]: Anything from the literature to back the results on the suitability of the soil for cotton and wheat production

Table 3. Nutrient status and chemical parameters experimental soils

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Site	**pH**	**EC**	**OC**	**Avail. N**	**Avail. P**	**Avail. K**	**Avail. S**	**Avail. Zn**
		dSm^{-1}	**(%)**		**(kg ha^{-1})**		**ppm**	
Cotton-wheat (2012-13)	7.5	0.07	0.31	83.2	10.8	71.0	12	1.48
Cotton-wheat (2013-14)	7.5	0.14	0.33	86.3	13.4	85.0	9.2	1.55

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted Table

Cotton yield and stalk yield

UNDER PEER REVIEW

~~The effect of different sources and combination of P, S and Zn fertilizer on cotton and stalk yield during 2012 and 2013 are represented in table 4.~~ The cotton and stalk yield significantly improved with P application as compared to control irrespective of sources and level of their application (Table 4). The response to phosphorous in cotton was observed because the experiment was started from cotton and the P was not applied to the previous crop. The status of P of the experimental soil was medium. The cotton yield was significantly increased with the application of phosphorus either through DAP or APSZn (complex fertilizer) as compared to control irrespective to its level of application. There was significant increase in cotton yield of DAP (P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75~~treatment T_5~~ and T_8 (P_2O_5~~@~~ at 30 kg ha^{-1} as compared to T_4 and T_7 (P_2O_5~~@~~ at 20 kg ha^{-1}) irrespective of its source of application. However, the effect between P_2O_5~~@~~ at 30 (T_2, T_3, T_5 and T_8) and P_2O_5~~@~~ at 60 (T_6, T_8 and T_9) was non-significant. This indicates that the P applied through complex and DAP fertilizer has equal effect on cotton yield. There was non- significance improvement in cotton yield with the application of S (T_2 and T_3). The non-significant difference between DAP(P30)+S7.5~~T_3~~ and DAP(P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75 ~~T_5~~ indicated no response to Zn application. The effect of S and Zn application was non-significant on grain and straw yield of cotton. This may be due to the reason that experimental soil contain sufficient amount of available sulphur (>10 ppm) and DTPA-Zn (>0.6 mg kg^{-1}). Similar trend was observed for straw yield of cotton. The cotton yield in 2013 was significantly increased with the application of phosphorus either through DAP or APSZn (complex fertilizer) as compared to control irrespective to its level of application.

Comment [CK19]: I would love if you write like that as compared to just saying T1, T2..... More especially where the T1.....are not in brackets

Comment [CK20]: Good results but what does literature says

There was significant increase in cotton yield of treatment T_5 (21.7 q ha^{-1}) and T_8 (22.0 q ha^{-1}) as compared to T_4 (17.2 q ha^{-1}) and T_7 (17.4 q ha^{-1}), irrespective of its source of application. However, the higher amount of P (P_2O_5 at 60 kg ha^{-1}) had non-significant effects on yield when compared with (P_2O_5 at 30 kg ha^{-1}). This indicates that the P applied through complex and DAP fertilizer has equal effect on cotton yield. Cotton yield increases significantly with application of 7.5 kg S ha^{-1}during 2013 because soil was deficient in Sulphur. However, the response of S application was not significant during 2012 due to medium status of soil. Application of Zn along with P and S had not result in increase of cotton yield during both the year because soil was not deficient in Zn. Similar trend was observed for stalk yield of cotton. Gobi and Vaiyapuri (2012) found similar results that with the application of 45 kg S + 10 kg Zn + 1kg B per ha increases the yield. Similarly ~~(~~Ali et al. (2011) noted that~~-~~ Zn and B ~~@~~ at 0.75+1.00 kg as foliar spray found to be best fertilizer for higher seed cotton yield.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 4. Effect of different sources of P, S and Zn fertilizer on cotton productivity (q ha^{-1})

	~~Treatments~~Nutrient source	Cotton yield		Stalk yield	
		2012	2013	2012	2013
T_1	Control (N+ K)	10.9 a	15.9 a	43.0 a	45.5 a
T_2	DAP(P30)	14.2 c	19.4 c	47.9 bc	48.4 ab
T_3	DAP(P30)+S7.5	14.3 c	19.6 c	48.2 bc	49.1 b
T_4	DAP(P20)+S5+Zn0.5	12.4 b	17.2 b	45.9 b	46.7 ab
T_5	DAP(P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75	14.7 c	21.7 d	48.7 bc	54.3 c
T_6	DAP(P60)+S15+Zn1.5	15.0 c	22.1 d	50.4 c	55.4 c
T_7	AP20+S5+Zn0.5	12.3 b	17.4 b	45.9 b	47.5 ab
T_8	AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75	14.8 c	22.0 d	48.4 bc	54.9 c
T_9	AP60+S15+Zn1.5	15.3 c	22.2 d	50.5 c	55.6 c
	LSD 5%				
	F.prob.				
	Grand mean				
	CV%				

*Values within a column, followed by different letters are significantly different at $p<0.05$ by Duncan's multiple range tests

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted Table

Comment [CK21]: It will be better to reveal the LSD% for the readers to appreciate the means are different

Comment [CK22]: All the Table in the result section should be managed like this and there is a need to add some of the variables below

Wheat grain yield and straw yield

The data pertaining to effect of different sources and level of P, S and Zn fertilizer on wheat yield during 2012-13 and 2013-14 are presented in table 5. The minimum (29.0 q ha^{-1}) and maximum (39.0 q ha^{-1}) was recorded under T_1 and T_9 treatment. The significant response to P application was observed from the plots which received P_2O_5 at~~@~~20 kg ha^{-1} (T_4 and T_7), P_2O_5 at~~@~~30 kg ha^{-1} (T_3, T_5 and T_8) and P_2O_5 at~~@~~60 kg ha^{-1} (T_6 and T_9) as improved grain yield of wheat irrespective of sources of their application. The effect of S and Zn application applied through different sources did not affect ~~on~~ wheat grain yield ~~was not significant~~. The lowest and highest straw yield was recorded under T_1 (control) and T_9 treatment. The straw yield under complex fertilizer (T_7, T_8 and T_9) was at par with the straw yield of wheat under equivalent amount of other fertilizers (T_4, T_5 and T_6), indicating that the availability of nutrient with the source was same. The minimum (30.2 q ha^{-1}) and maximum (45.6 q ha^{-1}) grain wheat yield during 2013-14 was recorded under T_1 and T_9 treatment respectively. The

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.06", Hanging: 0"

UNDER PEER REVIEW

application of P_2O_5 ~~@~~ at 30 kg ha^{-1} in T_3 (37.3 q ha^{-1}), T_5 (37.8 q ha^{-1}) and T_8 (38.2 q ha^{-1}) and P_2O_5 ~~@~~ at 60 kg ha^{-1} in T_6 (44.4 q ha^{-1}) and T_9 (45.6 q ha^{-1}) significantly improved the grain yield of wheat as compared to the plot received P_2O_5 ~~@~~ at 20 kg ha^{-1} in T_2 (34.2 q ha^{-1}) and T_7 (35.9 q ha^{-1}) and T_1 (30.2 q ha^{-1}) irrespective of sources of their application. There was significant difference between the yield of plot received P_2O_5 ~~@~~ at 60 kg ha^{-1} and P_2O_5 ~~@~~ at 30 kg ha^{-1} and this effect was similar for both DAP and complex fertilizer. The effect of P, S and Zn applied through complex fertilizer on grain yield was not significant higher than the equivalent amount of P, S and Zn applied through DAP, gypsum and $ZnSO_4$ fertilizers, respectively. The lowest and highest straw yield was recorded under T_1 (control) and T_9 treatment. The straw yield under complex fertilizer (T_7, T_8 and T_9) was at par with the straw yield of wheat under equivalent amount of other fertilizers (T_4, T_5 and T_6), indicating that the availability of nutrient with different source was same. Gupta *et al.* (2004) reported that S application significantly enhanced wheat yield and yield components. Similarly Yilmaz et al. (1997) found that application of zinc irrespective of method of application increase grain yield as compared to control. Similar result were found by Shukla and Warsi (2000) that application of zinc, sulphur and manganese increased grain yield of wheat as compare to control.

Table 5. Effect of different levels and sources of P, S and Zn fertilizers on grain and straw yield of wheat (q ha^{-1})

~~Treatment~~ Nutrient source		Grain yield		Straw yield	
		2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14
T_1	Control (N+ K)	29.0 a	30.2 a	42.0 a	42.3 a
T_2	DAP(P30)	35.3 b	34.2 b	52.3 b	50.6 bc
T_3	DAP(P30)+S7.5	35.5 b	37.3 c	52.8 b	55.5 cde
T_4	DAP(P20)+S5+Zn0.5	30.7 a	34.8 bc	42.8 a	48.5 b
T_5	DAP(P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75	35.5 b	37.8 c	53.0 b	56.4 de
T_6	DAP(P60)+S15+Zn1.5	38.5 c	44.4 d	62.8 c	72.4 f
T_7	AP20+S5+Zn0.5	30.8 a	35.9 b	43.8 a	51.0 bcd

Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Comment [CK23]: Am wondering when you are presenting literature supporting your results you tend to change the font size is it a style...
Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers
Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0"
Formatted: Font: Not Bold
Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"
Formatted Table
Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Font: Bold

UNDER PEER REVIEW

T_8	AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75	35.8 b	38.2 c	53.3 b	56.9 e
T_9	AP60+S15+Zn1.5	39.0 c	45.6 d	63.0. c	73.6 f
	LSD 5%				
	F.prob.				
	Grand mean				
	CV%				

*Values within a column, followed by different letters are significantly different at $p<0.05$ by Duncan's multiple range tests

Formatted Table

Plant parameters of wheat as affected by levels of sources of P, S and Zn fertilizers

The data pertaining to effect of levels and sources of P, S and Zn fertilizers on ear length, tiller per square meter and plant height of wheat (PBW 373) during 2012-13 are presented in the ~~table~~ Table 6. There was significant improvement in ear length of T_2, T_3, T_5, T_6, T_8 and T_9 with the application of P, S, and Zn through different fertilizers as compared to control. The minimum and maximum ear length was recorded under treatment T_1 (7.7 cm) and T_9 (9.4 cm) respectively. The improvement in ear length under T_6 and T_9 (P_2O_5~~@~~ at 60 kg ha^{-1}) was significant compare to the ~~treatments~~ source of nutrients received P_2O_5~~@~~ at 20 kg ha^{-1}. The application of P, S and Zn fertilizer significantly improved number of tiller per square meter except T_4 and T_7 ~~treatment~~source of nutrient. The results clearly indicate that the ear length and number of tillers in wheat increases with application of P from both the sources. However, the effect of S application along with P and Zn along with P and S was non-significant. There was improvement in plant height with the application of P, S and Zn fertilizer as compared to control, however, the effect was significant only for T_6 and T_9 ~~treatment~~source of nutrients. There was significant improvement in ear length under fertilized treatments with the application of P, S, and Zn through different fertilizers as compared to control ~~,~~during 2013-14. The minimum and maximum ear length was recorded under treatment T_1 (9.7 cm) and T_9 (10.9 cm). The ear length under T_1 was significantly lower than other ~~treatments~~source of nutrients. The application of P, S and Zn fertilizer significantly improved number of tiller per square meter as compared to control having maximum number of tillers under T_6 and T_9 ~~treatment~~source of nutrient. The results clearly indicate that the ear length and number of tillers in wheat increased with application of P from both the sources. There was improvement in plant height with the application of P, S and Zn fertilizer as compared to control. Tillering, plant height, spike length, number of grain spike-1, 1000 grain

Comment [CK24]: So what does literature say, I see you concentrating on results but how about the dissuasion of it

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

UNDER PEER REVIEW

weight, straw and grain yield were statistically significant in ~~treatment 50~~treatment 50 kg S ha-1 as compare to 25 and 75 kg S ha-1~~.~~ (Ali *et al.*, 2012). ~~Finding of~~ Malle *et al.* (2012) finding also revealed that with application of sulphur ~~there is~~ increases in grain yield and yield attributes in wheat. In another study, ~~Shukla and Warsi (2000) reported that~~ application of zinc, sulphur and manganese increased the growthcharacters (LAI, LAR, NAR, RGR and dry matter accumulation) and grain yield of wheat as compare to control (Shukla and Warsi, 2000).

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Comment [CK25]: Good literature but can you specifically support the specific results with specific literature not in general

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 6. Plant parameters of wheat as affected by levels and sources of P, S and Zn fertilizers

~~Treatment~~Nutrient source		Ear length (cm)		Tiller m^{-2}		Plant height (cm)	
		2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14
T_1	Control (N+ K)	7.7 a	9.7 a	164.4 a	200 a	73.5 a	85.9 [a]
T_2	DAP(P30)	8.6 bc	10.5 b	181.7 bc	205 b	77.3 ab	87.6 [ab]
T_3	DAP(P30)+S7.5	8.7 bc	10.5 b	182.3 bc	209 c	76.5 ab	87.4 [ab]
T_4	DAP(P20)+S5+Zn0.5	8.3 ab	9.9 a	171.7 ab	205 b	78.1 ab	86.8 [ab]
T_5	DAP(P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75	9.0 bc	10.6 bc	186.0 cd	220 d	78.7 ab	88.1 [bc]
T_6	DAP(P60)+S15+Zn1.5	9.3 c	10.9 c	196.1 d	222 e	80.9 b	89.9 [d]
T_7	AP20+S5+Zn0.5	8.4 ab	9.9 a	172.2 ab	204 b	78.5 ab	86.6 [ab]
T_8	AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75	9.1 bc	10.6 bc	187.2 cd	221 e	79.7 ab	89.7 [cd]
T_9	AP60+S15+Zn1.5	9.4 c	10.9 c	197.1 d	222 e	81.1 b	90.8 [d]
	LSD 5%						
	F.prob.						
	Grand mean						
	CV%						

*Values within a column, followed by different letters are significantly different at $p<0.05$ by Duncan's multiple range tests

Economics

Net return and B : C ratio was found higher in T8 (AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75) in cotton crop as compare to other ~~treatments~~nutrients sources. ~~,~~ This could be due ~~it may be due~~ to less labour required for application of fertilizer ~~and~~. On the other hand, a significant~~ly equal~~ high yield and maximum B : C ratio in~~to~~ -T9 (AP60+S15+Zn1.5) -was realised on wheat as compared to other nutrient sources. ~~but in wheat crop maximum net return and B:C ratio was found in T9 (AP60+S15+Zn1.5) as compare to other treatments.~~ Similar study was done by Gobi and Vaiyapuri (2012) where ~~that~~ higher net return per rupee invested.

Table 7. Enterprise budget of cotton and wheat crops under cotton-wheat rotation, Rs per acre

~~Treatment~~Nutrient source		Cotton			Wheat		
		Cost	Net Return	BCR	Cost	Net Return	BCR
T1	Control (N+ K)	23,134.8	874.2	0.04	12,600.5	7,769.0	0.62
T2	DAP(P30)	23,754.8	5,965.0	0.25	13,271.5	10,819.0	0.82

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted Table
Comment [CK26]: Make these superscript
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted Table
Comment [CK27]: What is this did you
Formatted
Formatted
Comment [CK28]: Sentence too long can
Formatted
Comment [CK29]: What is this????
Formatted
Formatted Table
Comment [CK30]: What is this????
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted
Formatted

T3	DAP(P30)+S7.5	23,929.8	6,059.0	0.25	13,458.5	11,799.0	0.88
T4	DAP(P20)+S5+Zn0.5	23,437.8	2,945.8	0.13	13,186.5	9,262.0	0.70
T5	DAP(P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75	23,952.8	8,209.2	0.34	13,481.5	11,965.5	0.89
T6	DAP(P60)+S15+Zn1.5	24,824.8	7,977.0	0.32	14,417.5	14,878.5	1.03
T7	AP20+S5+Zn0.5	23,529.8	2,955.6	0.13	13,186.5	9,755.5	0.74
T8	AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75	23,754.8	8,739.0	0.37	13,481.5	12,197.5	0.90
T9	AP60+S15+Zn1.5	24,429.8	8,703.8	0.36	14,417.5	15,417.5	1.07

Summary

~~The result of present~~ In study, ~~investigate that in~~ cotton-wheat cropping system ~~the~~ response for P application was observed both in cotton and wheat crops however ~~-~~response of application of S and Zn was also observed. The effects of P on crop yields with the sources i.e. DAP and APSZn was at par. Economics of both crops was found significantly higher in source of APSZn in both the crops as compare to DAP.

REFERENCES

Arshad A~~li~~., Muhammad Arshadullah, Syed Ishtiaq Hyder and Imdad Ali Mahmood. 2012. Effect of different levels of sulfur on the productivity of wheat in a saline sodic soil~~-~~. *Soil Environment.* **31**(1): 91-95.

Gobi R and Vaiyapuri V. 2012. Effect of sulphur and micronutrients (zinc and boron) on growth, yield attributes and quality of cotton. *International Journal of Current Research* **4**(11): 357-59

Gupta, V.K., K. Sanjeev and A.K. Singh. 2004. Yield and quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) as influenced by sulphur nutrition and weed management. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **74** (5): 254-256.

~~Liaqat~~ Ali L., Mushtaq Ali and Oamar Mohyuddin. 2011. Efeect of foliar application of zinc and boron on seed cotton yield and economics in cotton-wheat cropping pattern. *Journal of Agricultural Research* **49** (2): 173-79

Malle Jarvan, Liina Edesi & Ando Adamson .2012. Effect of sulphur fertilization on grain yield and yield components of winter wheat. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B. *Soil and Plant Science.* **62**: 401-09

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Comment [CK31]: Not clear here

Comment [CK32]: This is the time you report the max returns which you observed

Comment [CK33]: Conclusion are based on the objective of the study, you can also present major finds of the study and how do you see the study in important for India. So you need to revise this

Comment [CK34]: This section needs improvement

Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 3.27 ch
Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Comment [CK35]: Make this uniform as other reference

Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Comment [CK36]: Missing in text

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

~~S.K.~~ Shukla S.K., ~~1~~ and ~~A.S.~~ Warsi A.S., ~~–~~2000. Effect of sulphur and micronutrients on growth, nutrient content and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) *Indian Journal of Agriculture Research.* **34** (3): 203-05,

Yilmaz, H. Ekiz, B. Torun, I. Gultekin, S. Karanlik, S. A. Bagci, and I. Cakmak. (1997). Effect of Different Zinc Application Methods on Grain Yield and Zinc Concentration in Wheat Cultivars Grown on Zinc-Deficient Calcareous Soils**.** *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **20** (4&5): 461-71

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

UNDER PEER REVIEW