



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_54219
Title of the Manuscript:	Productivity and economics of cotton-wheat cropping system as affected by complex fertilizer of ammonium phosphate containing sulphur and zinc
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title may be modify as per suggestion 2. Abstract should be revised as comments 3. Introduction: This par need to be revised and some relevant references wherever quoted. 4. Results and discussion: A lot of need to be modified because many things repeating so rewrite. Include valid discussion with supporting references. 5. References are need to be modified as per journal format <p>Please check latest paper of Current Journal of Applied Science and Technolagn and modified accordingly</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	I made highlighted with red colour must be included in manuscript	
Optional/General comments	It you are mentioning cotton-wheat cropping system then why you have calculate the net return and B:C ratio separately. It must be calculated on the basis of system not individual.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Narendra Kumawat
Department, University & Country	India