Productivity and economics of cotton-wheat cropping system as affected by complex fertilizer of ammonium phosphate containing sulphur and zinc

Abstract

An experiment was conducted during 2012-14 at Ludhiana, Punjab to find out the productivity and profitability of cotton-wheat cropping system as influenced by complex fertilizers. The experiment was comprised of 9 treatments. The investigation clearly demonstrated that significantly higher cotton yield and stalk yield of cotton was higher in T_9 (15.3 q/ha and 50.5 q/ha, respectively in 2012 and 22.2 q/ha and 55.6, respectively in 2013) which was at par T_6 (15.0 q/ ha and 50.4 q/ha, respectively in 2012 and 22.1 q/ha and 55.4 q/ha, respectively in 2013). Similarly in wheat crop where T_9 gave significantly higher grain yield and straw yield (39.0 q/ha and 63.0 q/ha, respectively in 2012 and 45.6 q/ha and 73.6, respectively in 2013) which was comparable with T_6 (38.5 q/ ha and 62.8 q/ha, respectively in 2012 and 44.4 q/ha and 72.4 q/ha, in 2013) as compare to other treatments. Net return (give value) and B:C ratio (give value) was higher in T_8 in cotton crop but in wheat crop net return (give value) and B:C ratio (give value) was maximum in T_9 as compare with other treatments.

Key words: B: C ratio, Cotton and Wheat, Net return, Phosphorus, Sulphur, Zinc,

INTRODUCTION

Phosphorous (P), Sulphur (S) and Zinc (Zn) has been recognized as prime important essential plant nutrients next to N and K required for optimum plant growth. Improper supply of these nutrients leads to considerable reduction in crop productivity. Majority of the Punjab's soils are medium to high in P, S and Zn. The efficiency of applied P rarely exceeds 30 per cent and that of micronutrient more than 10 per cent. Therefore, repeated application of phosphorus over the years, lead to its build up and interactions in soil and/or plants affecting agricultural production. Sulphur is removed by crops in large quantities owing to its indispensable role in plant nutrition. It plays great role in sustaining growth, yield and quality of crops, particularly pulses and oilseeds. Moreover, continuous use of DAP as P source instead of single super phosphate has led to growing sulphur nutrition problems in the Indian soils and crops.

Continuous removal of S by crops, low use of S as mostly S free fertilizers are used and low S status of most of the Indian soils are major constraints in S nutrition management. Moreover, deficiency of one nutrient will certainly reduce the efficiency of the other nutrient

Comment [s1]: Title may be "Productivity and profitability of cotton-wheat cropping system as influenced by complex fertilizers"

Comment [s2]: nine

Comment [s3]: delete

Comment [s4]: T9 -provide full treatment details

Comment [s5]: Full detail of treatment

Comment [s6]: Full detail

Comment [s7]: Full details

Comment [s8]: delete

Comment [s9]: full details

Comment [s10]: full detail

Comment [s11]: add reference

Comment [s12]: add reference

Comment [s13]: add reference

applied. Hence, it may be worthwhile to apply S and P together, which may boost up the use efficiency of the both due to positive and synergistic interaction between the two elements.

Zinc is removed by crops in large quantities owing to its indispensable role in plant nutrition. It plays important role in sustaining yield and quality of crops. The need for applying micronutrient fertilizers to soils of Punjab was first felt with the appearance of Zn deficiency in rice and wheat. The adoption of intensive agriculture in irrigated areas involving cultivation of high yielding crop varieties, use of high analysis micronutrient fertilizers, decreased use of organic manures and crop residues, resulted in depletion of finite micronutrients reserves due to bumper harvests. The deficiency of Zn is mainly associated with soil having coarse texture, high pH, low organic carbon and high calcium carbonate (Takkar *et al.* 1999). Zinc plays an important role in plant metabolism like development of cell wall, respiration, photosynthesis, chlorophyll formation, enzyme activity and other bio-chemical functions. Amongst all the micronutrients Zn deficiency continues to be one of the key factors in determining the crop production. Crops utilize only a small quantity of the applied Zn for their normal growth. The considerable amount of Zn remains in soil, which can be utilized by the subsequent crops. For sustaining high productivity and increasing the efficiency of applied Zn fertilizers, it is essential to determine the frequency of its application under different cropping systems. Hence, it may be worthwhile to apply P, S and Zn together, which may boost up the use efficiency of these elements.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments was conducted at Research Farm, Department of Soil Science, Punjab Agricultural University (PAU), Ludhiana, Punjab, India. This region belongs to C_4 climate zone characterized by hot air conditions. The soils at PAU Farm were classified as Samana, coarse loamy, non-calcareous, Typic Ustochrepts. The soil samples of selected field were analyzed for available P (Olsen *et al.* 1954), available S (0.15% $CaCl_2$ extractable) and DTPA-Extractable Zn with the method described by Lindsay and Norvell (1978).

To study the effect of ammonium phosphate containing sulphur and zinc complex fertilizer on productivity of cotton-wheat cropping system with nine treatments in a randomized block design and each treatment was replicated thrice. The performance of complex fertilizer was compared with equivalent amount of popular fertilizer sources of P (DAP), S (gypsum) and Zn ($ZnSO_4$). The details of experimental treatments are presented in table 1.

Table 1. Details of experimental treatments

Treatment with Source		Cotton			Wheat		
		P (P_2O_5)	S	Zn	P (P_2O_5)	S	Zn
		kg ha^{-1}					
T_1	Control (N+ K)	0	0	0	0	0	0
T_2	DAP(P30)	30	0	0	30	0	0
T_3	DAP(P30)+S7.5	30	7.5	0	30	7.5	0
T_4	DAP(P20)+S5+Zn0.5	20	5.0	0.50	20	5.0	0.50
T_5	DAP(P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75	30	7.5	0.75	30	7.5	0.75
T_6	DAP(P60)+S15+Zn1.5	60	15.0	1.50	60	15.0	1.50
T_7	AP20+S5+Zn0.5	20	5.0	0.50	20	5.0	0.50
T_8	AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75	30	7.5	0.75	30	7.5	0.75
T_9	AP60+S15+Zn1.5	60	15.0	1.50	60	15.0	1.50

Nitrogen applied through APSZ (ammonium phosphate containing sulphur and zinc complex fertilizer N12:P40:K0:S10:Zn1) and DAP (Diamminium phosphate) was compensated. The source of N and K was urea and muriate of potash, respectively. Source of P was DAP and APSZ. Source of S was gypsum and APSZ. Source of Zn was zinc sulphate ($ZnSO_4.7H_2O$) or APSZ.

The cotton and wheat crops were cultivated as per agronomic practices recommended under irrigated condition by Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana. The full dose of P and K was applied at the time of sowing of cotton and wheat crops. In cotton ½ of the N was applied at the time of thinning and remaining ½ of N was broadcasted at the time of flowering. In wheat ½ of the N was applied at the time of sowing and remaining ½ of N was broadcasted at the time of 1st irrigation. The basic detail of experiments conducted is presented in table 2.

Table 2. Basic details of the experiments conducted during 2012-14

Crop	Cotton		Wheat	
	2012	2013	2012-13	2013-14
Variety	Ankur 3028	Ankur 3028	PBW 621	PBW 621
Date of sowing	28 April	30 April	19 Dec.	14 Nov.
Date of harvesting/ picking	18 October, 7 Nov., 23 Nov.	7 Sept., 8 October, 5 Nov.	20 April	20 April

The initial fertility status of experimental soils is represented in table 3. These soils were normal in soil reaction and salt concentration, low in available nitrogen and potassium, medium in available phosphorous, sufficient in available S and DTPA-Zn.

Table 3. Nutrient status and chemical parameters experimental soils

Comment [s14]: how to analysis of soil properties plz give method name

Site	pH	EC	OC	Avail. N	Avail. P	Avail. K	Avail. S	Avail. Zn
		dSm^{-1}	(%)		(kg ha^{-1})		ppm	
Cotton-wheat (2012-13)	7.5	0.07	0.31	83.2	10.8	71.0	12	1.48
Cotton-wheat (2013-14)	7.5	0.14	0.33	86.3	13.4	85.0	9.2	1.55

RESULT AND DISCUSSION COTTON- WHEAT

Comment [s15]: delete

Effect on cotton yield and stalk yield

The effect of different sources and combination of P, S and Zn fertilizer on cotton and stalk yield during 2012 and 2013 are represented in table 4. The cotton and stalk yield significantly improved with P application as compared to control irrespective of sources and level of their application. The response to phosphorous in cotton was observed because the experiment was started from cotton and the P was not applied to the previous crop. The status of P of the experimental soil was medium. The cotton yield was significantly increased with the application of phosphorus either through DAP or APSZn (complex fertilizer) as compared to control irrespective to its level of application. There was significant increase in cotton yield of treatment T_5 and T_8 (P_2O_5@30 kg ha^{-1} as compared to T_4 and T_7 (P_2O_5@20 kg ha^{-1}) irrespective of its source of application. However, the effect between P_2O_5@30 (T_2, T_3, T_5 and T_8) and P_2O_5@60 (T_6, T_8 and T_9) was non-significant. This indicates that the P applied through complex and DAP fertilizer has equal effect on cotton yield. There was non-significance improvement in cotton yield with the application of S (T_2 and T_3). The non-significant difference between T_3 and T_5 indicated no response to Zn application. The effect of S and Zn application was non-significant on grain and straw yield of cotton. This may be due to the reason that experimental soil contain sufficient amount of available sulphur (>10 ppm) and DTPA-Zn (>0.6 mg kg^{-1}). Similar trends were also observed for stalk yield of cotton. The cotton yield in 2013 was significantly increased with the application of phosphorus either through DAP or APSZn (complex fertilizer) as compared to control irrespective to its level of application.

Comment [s16]: add discussion with latest references

There was significant increase in cotton yield of treatment T_5 (21.7 q ha^{-1}) and T_8 (22.0 q ha^{-1}) as compared to T_4 (17.2 q ha^{-1}) and T_7 (17.4 q ha^{-1}), irrespective of its source of application. However, the higher amount of P (P_2O_5 at 60 kg ha^{-1}) had non-significant effects on yield when compared with (P_2O_5 at 30 kg ha^{-1}). This indicates that the P applied through complex and DAP fertilizer has equal effect on cotton yield. Cotton yield increases significantly with application of 7.5 kg S ha^{-1}during 2013 because soil was deficient in Sulphur. However, the response of S application was not significant during 2012 due to medium status of soil. Application of Zn along with P and S had not result in increase of cotton yield during both the year because soil was not deficient in Zn. Similar trend was also observed for stalk yield of cotton. Gobi and Vaiyapuri (2012) found similar results that with the application of 45 kg S + 10 kg Zn + 1kg B/ha increases the yield. Similarly (Ali et al 2011) noted that Zn and B @ 0.75+1.00 kg as foliar spray found to be best fertilizer for higher seed cotton yield.

Table 4. Effect of different sources of P, S and Zn fertilizer on cotton productivity (q ha^{-1})

Comment [s17]: delete

Treatments		Cotton yield (q ha^{-1})		Stalk yield (q ha^{-1})	
		2012	**2013**	**2012**	**2013**
T_1	Control (N+ K)	10.9 a	15.9 a	43.0 a	45.5 a
T_2	DAP(P30)	14.2 c	19.4 c	47.9 bc	48.4 ab
T_3	DAP(P30)+S7.5	14.3 c	19.6 c	48.2 bc	49.1 b
T_4	DAP(P20)+S5+Zn0.5	12.4 b	17.2 b	45.9 b	46.7 ab
T_5	DAP(P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75	14.7 c	21.7 d	48.7 bc	54.3 c
T_6	DAP(P60)+S15+Zn1.5	15.0 c	22.1 d	50.4 c	55.4 c
T_7	AP20+S5+Zn0.5	12.3 b	17.4 b	45.9 b	47.5 ab
T_8	AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75	14.8 c	22.0 d	48.4 bc	54.9 c
T_9	AP60+S15+Zn1.5	15.3 c	22.2 d	50.5 c	55.6 c

***Values within a column, followed by different letters are significantly different at *p*<0.05 by Duncan's multiple range tests**

Effect on grain and straw yield of wheat

The data pertaining to effect of different sources and level of P, S and Zn fertilizer on wheat yield during 2012-13 and 2013-14 are presented in table 5. The minimum (29.0 q ha^{-1})

and maximum (39.0 q ha^{-1}) was recorded under T_1 and T_9 treatment. The significant response to P application was observed from the plots received P_2O_5@20 kg ha^{-1} (T_4 and T_7), P_2O_5@30 kg ha^{-1} (T_3, T_5 and T_8) and P_2O_5@60 kg ha^{-1} (T_6 and T_9) as improved grain yield of wheat irrespective of sources of their application. The effect of S and Zn application applied through different sources on wheat grain yield was not significant. The lowest and highest straw yield was recorded under T_1 (control) and T_9 treatment. The straw yield under complex fertilizer (T_7, T_8 and T_9) was at par with the straw yield of wheat under equivalent amount of other fertilizers (T_4, T_5 and T_6), indicating that the availability of nutrient with the source was same. The minimum (30.2 q ha^{-1}) and maximum (45.6 q ha^{-1}) grain wheat yield during 2013-14 was recorded under T_1 and T_9 treatment respectively. The application of P_2O_5@30 kg ha^{-1} in T_3 (37.3 q ha^{-1}), T_5 (37.8 q ha^{-1}) and T_8 (38.2 q ha^{-1}) and P_2O_5@60 kg ha^{-1} in T_6 (44.4 q ha^{-1}) and T_9 (45.6 q ha^{-1}) significantly improved the grain yield of wheat as compared to the plot received P_2O_5@20 kg ha^{-1} in T_2 (34.2 q ha^{-1}) and T_7 (35.9 q ha^{-1}) and T_1 (30.2 q ha^{-1}) irrespective of sources of their application. There was significant difference between the yield of plot received P_2O_5@60 kg ha^{-1} and P_2O_5@30 kg ha^{-1} and this effect was similar for both DAP and complex fertilizer. The effect of P, S and Zn applied through complex fertilizer on grain yield was not significant higher than the equivalent amount of P, S and Zn applied through DAP, gypsum and $ZnSO_4$ fertilizers, respectively. The lowest and highest straw yield was recorded under T_1 (control) and T_9 treatment. The straw yield under complex fertilizer (T_7, T_8 and T_9) was at par with the straw yield of wheat under equivalent amount of other fertilizers (T_4, T_5 and T_6), indicating that the availability of nutrient with different source was same. Gupta *et al.* (2004) reported that S application significantly enhanced wheat yield and yield components. Similarly Yilmaz *et al.* (1997) found that application of zinc irrespective of method of application increase grain yield as compared to control. Similar results were found by Shukla and Warsi (2000) that application of zinc, sulphur and manganese increased grain yield of wheat as compare to control.

Comment [s18]: repeating

Table 5. Effect of different levels and sources of P, S and Zn fertilizers on grain and straw yield of wheat (q ha^{-1})

Treatment		Grain yield (q ha^{-1})		Straw yield (q ha^{-1})	
		2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14
T_1	Control (N+ K)	29.0 a	30.2 a	42.0 a	42.3 a
T_2	DAP(P30)	35.3 b	34.2 b	52.3 b	50.6 bc
T_3	DAP(P30)+S7.5	35.5 b	37.3 c	52.8 b	55.5 cde
T_4	DAP(P20)+S5+Zn0.5	30.7 a	34.8 bc	42.8 a	48.5 b
T_5	DAP(P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75	35.5 b	37.8 c	53.0 b	56.4 de
T_6	DAP(P60)+S15+Zn1.5	38.5 c	44.4 d	62.8 c	72.4 f
T_7	AP20+S5+Zn0.5	30.8 a	35.9 b	43.8 a	51.0 bcd
T_8	AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75	35.8 b	38.2 c	53.3 b	56.9 e
T_9	AP60+S15+Zn1.5	39.0 c	45.6 d	63.0. c	73.6 f

***Values within a column, followed by different letters are significantly different at *p*<0.05 by Duncan's multiple range tests**

Effect on plant parameters of wheat

The data pertaining to effect of levels and sources of P, S and Zn fertilizers on ear length, tiller per square meter and plant height of wheat (PBW 373) during 2012-13 are presented in the table 6. There was significant improvement in ear length of T_2, T_3, T_5, T_6, T_8 and T_9 with the application of P, S, and Zn through different fertilizers as compared to control. The minimum and maximum ear length was recorded under treatment T_1 (7.7 cm) and T_9 (9.4 cm) respectively. The improvement in ear length under T_6 and T_9 (P_2O_5@60 kg ha^{-1}) was significant compare to the treatments received P_2O_5@20 kg ha^{-1}. The application of P, S and Zn fertilizer significantly improved number of tiller per square meter except T_4 and T_7 treatment. The results clearly indicate that the ear length and number of tillers in wheat increases with application of P from both the sources. However the effect of S application along with P and Zn along with P and S was non- significant. There was improvement in plant height with the application of P, S and Zn fertilizer as compared to control, however, the effect was significant only for T_6 and T_9 treatment. There was significant improvement in ear length under fertilized treatments with the application of P, S, and Zn through different fertilizers as compared to control.during 2013-14. The minimum and maximum ear length was recorded under treatment T_1 (9.7 cm) and T_9 (10.9 cm). The ear length under T_1 was significantly lower than other treatments. The application of P, S and Zn fertilizer significantly improved number of tiller per square meter as compared to control having maximum number of tillers under T_6 and T_9 treatment. The results clearly indicate that the ear length and number of tillers in wheat increased with application of P from both the sources. There was improvement in plant height with the application of P, S and Zn fertilizer as compared to control. Tillering, plant height, spike length, number of grain spike^{-1}, 1000 grain weight, grain and straw yield were statistically significant in treatment 50 kg S ha^{-1} as compare to 25 and 75 kg S ha^{-1} (Ali *et al.* 2012). Finding of Malle *et al.* (2012) also revealed that with application of sulphur there is increase in grain yield and yield attributes in wheat. Shukla and Warsi (2000) reported that application of zinc, sulphur and manganese increased the growthcharacters (LAI, LAR, NAR, RGR and dry matter accumulation) and grain yield of wheat as compare to control.

Comment [s21]: growth characters

Table 6. Plant parameters of wheat as affected by levels and sources of P, S and Zn fertilizers

Treatment		Ear length (cm)		Tiller m^{-2}		Plant height (cm)	
		2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14
T_1	Control (N+ K)	7.7 a	9.7 a	164.4 a	200 a	73.5 a	85.9 a
T_2	DAP(P30)	8.6 bc	10.5 b	181.7 bc	205 b	77.3 ab	87.6 ab
T_3	DAP(P30)+S7.5	8.7 bc	10.5 b	182.3 bc	209 c	76.5 ab	87.4 ab
T_4	DAP(P20)+S5+Zn0.5	8.3 ab	9.9 a	171.7 ab	205 b	78.1 ab	86.8 ab
T_5	DAP(P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75	9.0 bc	10.6 bc	186.0 cd	220 d	78.7 ab	88.1 bc
T_6	DAP(P60)+S15+Zn1.5	9.3 c	10.9 c	196.1 d	222 e	80.9 b	89.9 d
T_7	AP20+S5+Zn0.5	8.4 ab	9.9 a	172.2 ab	204 b	78.5 ab	86.6 ab
T_8	AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75	9.1 bc	10.6 bc	187.2 cd	221 e	79.7 ab	89.7 cd
T_9	AP60+S15+Zn1.5	9.4 c	10.9 c	197.1 d	222 e	81.1 b	90.8 d

***Values within a column, followed by different letters are significantly different at *p*<0.05 by Duncan's multiple range tests**

Effect on economics

Net return and B:C ratio was found higher in T_8 (AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75) in cotton crop as compare to other treatments, it may be due to less labour required for application of fertilizer and significantly equal yield to T_9 (AP60+S15+Zn1.5) but in wheat crop maximum net return and B:C ratio was found in T_9 (AP60+S15+Zn1.5) as compare to other treatments. Similar study was done by Gobi and Vaiyapuri (2012) that higher net return per rupee invested.

Table 7. Enterprise budget of cotton and wheat crops under cotton-wheat rotation, Rs per acre

Treatment		Cotton			Wheat		
		Cost	Net Return	BCR	Cost	Net Return	BCR
T1	Control (N+ K)	23,134.8	874.2	0.04	12,600.5	7,769.0	0.62
T2	DAP(P30)	23,754.8	5,965.0	0.25	13,271.5	10,819.0	0.82
T3	DAP(P30)+S7.5	23,929.8	6,059.0	0.25	13,458.5	11,799.0	0.88
T4	DAP(P20)+S5+Zn0.5	23,437.8	2,945.8	0.13	13,186.5	9,262.0	0.70
T5	DAP(P30)+S7.5+Zn0.75	23,952.8	8,209.2	0.34	13,481.5	11,965.5	0.89
T6	DAP(P60)+S15+Zn1.5	24,824.8	7,977.0	0.32	14,417.5	14,878.5	1.03
T7	AP20+S5+Zn0.5	23,529.8	2,955.6	0.13	13,186.5	9,755.5	0.74
T8	AP30+S7.5+Zn0.75	23,754.8	8,739.0	0.37	13,481.5	12,197.5	0.90
T9	AP60+S15+Zn1.5	24,429.8	8,703.8	0.36	14,417.5	15,417.5	1.07

Summary

The result of present study investigated that in cotton-wheat cropping system the response for P application was observed both in cotton and wheat crops however, response of application of S and Zn was also observed. The effects of P on crop yields with the sources i.e. DAP and APSZn was at par. Economics of both crops was found significantly higher in source of APSZn in both the crops as compare to DAP.

REFERENCES

Comment [s22]: References should be on journal format

Arshad Ali, Muhammad Arshadullah, Syed Ishtiaq Hyder and Imdad Ali Mahmood. 2012. Effect of different levels of sulfur on the productivity of wheat in a saline sodic soil . *Soil Environment.* **31**(1): 91-95.

Gobi R and Vaiyapuri V. 2012. Effect of sulphur and micronutrients (zinc and boron) on growth, yield attributes and quality of cotton. *International Journal of Current Research* **4**(11): 357-59

Gupta, V.K., K. Sanjeev and A.K. Singh. 2004. Yield and quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) as influenced by sulphur nutrition and weed management. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **74** (5): 254-256.

Liaqat Ali, Mushtaq Ali and Oamar Mohyuddin. 2011. Efeect of foliar application of zinc and boron on seed cotton yield and economics in cotton-wheat cropping pattern. *Journal of Agricultural Research* **49** (2): 173-79

Malle Jarvan, Liina Edesi & Ando Adamson .2012. Effect of sulphur fertilization on grain yield and yield components of winter wheat. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B. *Soil and Plant Science.* **62**: 401-09

S.K. Shukla1 and A.S. Warsi. 2000. Effect of sulphur and micronutrients on growth, nutrient content and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) *Indian Journal of Agriculture Research.* **34** (3): 203-05,

Yilmaz,H. Ekiz, B. Torun, I. Gultekin, S. Karanlik, S. A. Bagci, and I. Cakmak. 1997. Effect of Different Zinc Application Methods on Grain Yield and Zinc Concentration in Wheat Cultivars Grown on Zinc-Deficient Calcareous Soils**.** *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **20** (4&5): 461-71

Comment [s23]: Effect

Comment [s24]: and