



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_54952
Title of the Manuscript:	Direct and Residual Effect of Boron Application on Yield and Nutrients Content under Rice–Wheat Cropping System
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please move these analysis results in Experimental Setup Section to the Result and Discussion Section. - There is some information about N content of the soil (112 kg N ha⁻¹. As to RDF is 150 kg N ha⁻¹) in Experimental Setup Section. But, you added RDF + Boron fertilizer. In this situation sum of the total N in the soil was 112+150 = 262 kg N ha⁻¹. These amounts are very high for Wheat and rice. Same situation has been in P and K application. - Please give a reference for RDF in Experimental Setup Section. - What is the meaning of Harvest Index and what for did you use this criteria. - What is the reason you determined nutrient content of grain an straw (Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn). Please explain and give references about on the relation between B application and Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn content of the plant in introduction section. - What is the meaning of post harvest soil physical and chemical properties (pH, EC, organic carbon) after Boron application? Please explain. - There is just one reference after 2015 year. All the references are very old. Change all the references with new references (after 2000 year). 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Serdar Bilen
Department, University & Country	Ataturk University, Turkey