



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_57179
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis of Extent of Use of Online Utility Services in Punjab, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic is relevant, and this paper gives analysis of online utility services use in India.</p> <p>The main drawback of this manuscript is lack of novelty.</p> <p>The manuscript should have related work section with brief description of the existing research in this domain (globally and in India).</p> <p>Since the research has been conducted in 2018 and 2019 I recommend inclusion of more current research and findings in this manuscript, if possible.</p> <p>Brief description of challenges during research should be added in manuscript. Also, authors should provide explanation of main drawbacks and limitations of their research.</p> <p>Brief description of ideas and suggestions for future work should be added in conclusion.</p> <p>Although English is generally good, some typing errors must be corrected.</p> <p>Caption for Chart 1 should be changed to Table.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jelena Šuh
Department, University & Country	Serbia