



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_59413
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamics of Land Use Pattern in Madurai District of Tamil Nadu in Nexus With Common Property Land Resources
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The article addressed a timely issue on a different perspective. it's a good try. The main problem of the paper is the lack of visual representations as land use is directly space related issue. The aim of the study is not fully achieved by the study. Authors only used secondary data but the primary field survey may enrich the quality of the paper. Another shortcoming is about the presentation and writeup style and language. It seems like a concise version of a thesis or project report. English is a very introductory level and contains the frequent repetition of a similar statement. Section and figure numbers are inappropriate style. Above mentioned modifications can make the article to qualify for publication in the journal.</p> <p>There is lack of context and motivation behind carrying out the research (in the abstract). Implications of the study in the abstract are not spelt out.</p> <p><b>In Introduction</b> There is lack of the context and motivation behind this study. Research Gaps are not well identified. Building theories is less here in this paper. The appropriate and recent (2019-2020) Supportive journal articles literature are to be included; present reviewed literature are not quite enough.</p> <p><b>In Methodology</b> The author may need to rewrite this section extensively and not just listing the methods/ protocols adapted in analyzing the data itself. Study area map should be incorporated. This section has to be presented clearly so that the reader will be able to digest the necessary information required to understand the study objective of this research work.</p> <p><b>In Results and Discussions</b> The grammatical/ formatting error in the presentation of the statement in this section had to be improved sincerely. The land use change should be marked in different maps. The credential source should be used and proper explanation should be given to enrich the quality.</p> <p><b>In Conclusion</b> Conclusion, objectives/aim of the research and the findings as well are not drawn properly. Focus on your findings in the conclusion. List the major contribution or suggestions for future researchers.</p> <p><b>Reference</b> Check reference style especially indentation and Complete the references according to the guidelines as some of the references are not completed yet.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Md. Nazmul Haque</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Khulna University of Engineering &amp; Technology, Bangladesh</b>