



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_60792
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of number of suckers per hill on yield and quality of banana cv. Malbhog (AAB) in ratoon crop
Type of the Article	Research manuscript

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More literature to be cited in the introduction, particularly the current one 2. Write abbreviations in full, on first citing or add a list of acronyms at the appendix 3. List Aim and Objectives of the research at the end of introduction, after highlighting the gap 4. Study site location to be indicated as GIS Coordinates or physical map with Northings and Eastings 5. Distinguish between field experimental design and laboratory procedures 6. State qualitative statistical analysis methods and confirmatory methods used 7. Quote level of significance in confirmatory statistical tests and use appropriate analysis methods 8. Include error bars in the case of comparison of parameters using mean differences, In discussions 9. Discuss results based on previous authors' research findings and refer to appropriate table or graph 9. Add recommendations or conclusions, after discussions, in results 10. Number the manuscript using titles and sub-titles 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Typographical errors 2. Beware of contradictory statements 3. Clearly list materials and methods 4. Sketch the Experimental design 5. Add acknowledgement before the reference list 6. Remove the numbering from reference list 7. Discard the unquoted literature reference 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Use the correct grammar 2. Check on the length of the manuscript. It should be as per the Journal specification 3. Acurately acknowledge information source 4. Check on appropriate manuscript formatting 5. Ensure that the manuscript is published in the appropriate journal, according to content 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grace Asiko
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya