



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_61493
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION OF ECONOMICALLY VIABLE CROPPING SYSTEMS FOR VERTISOLS OF NORTHERN TELANGANA ZONE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work could be better written to reflect its value and potential. There is excessive use of language. Author should try to separate and systematically present each point or theme rather than lump all together. More references could be used to enrich the work and support the author's stance.	
Minor REVISION comments	Author should clearly define terms and ideas. Also check for consistency in data.	
Optional/General comments	The work appears to be thorough but author should attempt to restructure the article for clarity.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adekanmi, Daniel Gbenga
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Defnece Academy, Nigeria