



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_62520
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS COST REDUCTION MEASURES IN BUILDING INDUSTRY IN IMO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Work on a relevant topic, given the population growth of cities and the difficulty of adequate housing for part of the population. Some improvement adjustments for presenting the research can be suggested:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author mentions "encouraging the search for new materials and the use of locally produced and purchased construction materials is important", and also that "the total cost of production is largely constructed by the cost of the constituent building material resources". Despite this, the author does not mention the use of lower cost alternative materials such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - pozzolanic materials, ashes, industrial powders (does it exist? is it used?) - waste and fiber reinforcing structures (does it exist? is it used?) and public policies for collection and reuse; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - how often are unconventional materials used in successful cases around the world? And locally? - The set of references can also be increased by citing results obtained in previous works with data that can be compared with those obtained in current research. - Other geographic regions nearby or from other countries, with characteristics similar to this one, can also serve as a parameter for discussion the current state of the local situation. This can be achieved in the literature already published. - The term LIKERT SCALE (refer to Rensis Likert) is used as "Linkert" in this text; 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Some parts in manuscript needs a DOC reference, like as: INTRODUCTION, PAG. 2 : National Housing Policy guideline;</p>	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - REFERENCES needs a revision in format (does exist a standard to adopt?); - value judgment is not advisable, as page 3, 2nd par. "deplorable scenario". - Some graphical data can help you better understand the results. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Umberto Gonçalves Ribeiro</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Brazil</i>