



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_62520
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS COST REDUCTION MEASURES IN BUILDING INDUSTRY IN IMO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Remove the recommendation and the excess of information, paraphrase the whole abstract in a way that is understandable and short</b></p> <p><b>Update the introduction and include some more new articles</b></p> <p><b>I suggest the author validates his/her data with some other papers from even other countries</b></p> <p>Abstract is too long and contains too much information While it should be the gist of the findings</p> <p>Whereas the Introduction Section lacks the recent data, it contains Only 1 paper from 2019 and the rest are from more than 10 years ago</p> <p>The paper lacks the critical discussion on the findings</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Usually equations are shown with Eq.</p> <p>Eq3 ,Eq4 and Eq6 are missing some information</p> <p><b>4.0 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION</b> This is irrelevant to journal papers and this type of heading is usually used for reports or theses</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zahra Dashtizadeh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tarbiat Modares University, Iran</b>