



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_52546
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of Selected Heavy Metals Concentration in Animal Feeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: Need to restructure and correct grammatical errors</p> <p>Results: The results obtained need to be compared with national or international standards</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>-need to restructure the abstract</p> <p>Line 8: remove "of" it should be "contaminated seawater".</p> <p>Line 8: rephrase this statement "These metals deposited in animal meat, liver and milk".</p> <p>Line 9: insert "to human" before health. Remove people</p> <p>Line 9: full stop after health.</p> <p>Line 11: change "were" to "are" and put full stop (dot).</p> <p>Line 11: grammatical error rephrase "studies shows that risk associated due to animal feed residues or metals in animal feed"</p> <p>Line 13: remove the full stop before reference</p> <p>Line 17: causes not cause; were not was</p> <p>Line 19-24: Rephrase due to grammatical errors and disjointed statements</p> <p>Line 35-38: Rephrase due to grammatical errors</p> <p>Line 39-56: Rephrase due to grammatical errors, wrong referencing and some statements disjointed</p> <p>Line 51: Not true...</p> <p>Line 65: add "was" before used</p> <p>Line 68: remove "of method"</p> <p>Line 69: Remove "The"</p> <p>Table 1 not Table (1)</p> <p>Table 1: Add international standards</p> <p>Table 1: Feeds not feed</p> <p>Line 81: as shown not as shows</p> <p>Line 107-109: Rephrase ". The Hg content in fish is more than 0.4ppm they cause harm to humans. The animal cattle consume more amount of Hg leads to disorders such as visual, neuropathy and gastrointestinal."</p> <p>Line 129: Put "However" before monitoring</p> <p>Line 136: rephrase "in recently imported different sources animal feed."</p> <p>Line 139-140: Rephrase "While these results are in agreeable range at international level in most cases, the lead (Pd) concentration needs to look at and control"</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adefarati Oloruntoba
Department, University & Country	Nigeria