



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_55137
Title of the Manuscript:	Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) Study of a dye extract.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This study focuses on Pt/TiO ₂ /Dye modified electrode shows two pair of oxidation peaks at 256 and 474 mV which is ascribed to Fe ³⁺ /Fe ²⁺ . Internal resistance of the DSSC shows the dye can be strongly adsorbed to the surface of TiO ₂ . Results are presented fairly well and are reliable. However, this manuscript still has some points need to improve. (1) Figure 2 is not clear. (2) Table 1 is not need (3) Change something in conclusion so that it different with abstract. My conclusion is that this manuscript is quality good enough and should be published.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Le Van Tan
Department, University & Country	Industrial University Of HoChiMinh, Vietnam