



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Chemical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_60408
Title of the Manuscript:	Mechanism of the Electrochemical Deposition of a CoNiFe Alloy
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>It is suitable for publication acceptable after a minor revision</p> <p>*in the abstract there is long word (Based on the experimental results....). it should be divide into 2 statements</p> <p>*Key words should be more than 4</p> <p>*First paragraph in the introduction should be revised. it contains many repeated words like (...and...and..). Authors can divided it into two short statements.</p> <p>*the last paragraph in th introduction, author can changes (the aim of <u>the</u> study... ) into (the aim of <u>this</u> study).</p> <p>*Author must write the text of ligand the x axis in Figur 2 and 4. Authors must write the distangush between the curves 1, 2, 3 of Figure 4</p> <p>Dear Editor, I revised the manuscript and I found that the manuscript is suitable for publication after a minor revision. In my opinion, the paper looks as quite complete. This work is good and is scientific. I would be grateful if the Authors could try to complete my minor recommendations. Finally, from my referee point of view, <b>the manuscript can be accepted</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Elsayed Mostafa Elsayed</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Central Metallurgical Research and Development Institute Cairo, Egypt</i></b>