



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_52613
Title of the Manuscript:	ATTITUDES, KNOWLEDGE AND PRACTICE OF HERBAL REMEDY USE AMONG THE POPULATION VISITING PHARMACIES AND HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS IN ALEXANDRIA, EGYPT: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The name of the authors and their affiliations requires to be provided beneath the Title. 2. Recheck the punctuations and spellings. You can use various online tools or grammar checker for your kind assistance. 3. References must be given in an orderly manner. 4. The name of every keyword must start with Capital letter. 5. (2.1.1) A further relevant explanations requires to be given about the study area and it's definite size and others. 6. Full names or the form of the acronyms requires to be provided in a separate table. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The word "to" from the very first line of the abstract needs to change to start with a Capital letter. 2. The limitations to the article requires to be provided separately than mixing it with the conclusion. 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danish Kadir
Department, University & Country	Rajshahi University, Bangladesh