



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_54571
Title of the Manuscript:	Removal of copper using activated carbon adsorbent and its antibacterial antifungal activity
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript presents a very interesting general idea, but it has many flaws:</p> <p>The way of writing should be improved as well, as the writing of the English language.</p> <p>The discussion of results is very weak, needs to be improved.</p> <p>It is talked about a plant extract; this was not reported in terms of materials and methods.</p> <p>Figure 1 is not clear in relation to what was reported in the manuscript.</p> <p>The conclusion is not clear in relation to that presented in the manuscript.</p> <p>The manuscript is written in different fonts, it needs to be standardized.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jaiber Humberto Rodriguez Llanos
Department, University & Country	São Paulo University, Brazil