



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_54571
Title of the Manuscript:	Removal of copper using activated carbon adsorbent and its antibacterial antifungal activity
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please check for spelling and grammar corrections</li> <li>2. In materials and methods I paragraph line '0.2 g of C' is given what is C?</li> <li>3. In effect of ph para its written max adsorption at pH.. but in graph its shown as adsorption increases as pH increases.</li> <li>4. In effect of agitation speed its mentioned that optimum agitation was calculated , but result not shown. Moreover graph shown is different , how is it calculated from the graph?</li> <li>5. In effect of temperature para a fig is mentioned which is not kept in manuscript</li> <li>6. In thermodynamic parameters its said that parameters are calculated, but result not specified and no mentioning about how and in what method its calculated?</li> <li>7. In conclusion nothing written about specifically from the present work all are general statements.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	To be written thoroughly	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Vidya V. G.
Department, University & Country	University College, India