



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_59433
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Proximate Nutraceutical study of Poor man's pulse, Horsegram [Macrotyloma uniflorum] with the other common legume crops: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Conclusion can be elaborated bit more, can include with future recommendations if any	
Minor REVISION comments	Spelling checks, Space between the words	
Optional/General comments	A nice compilation to know the importance of pulses in our food routine with their nutritional composition and therapeutic uses.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asha S A
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India