



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Blood Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_63603
Title of the Manuscript:	Simple, Fast And Reliable Method Of Taking Photograph With Small Sized Cellotape Assisted Smartphone On Light Microscope: The Urip Susiantoro Approach In Limited Resources Setting
Type of the Article	Short communication

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the pixel size consistent over several rounds of image acquisition using this method? The authors should at least take some photos (in addition to Fig.2) with a calibration slide to show the capability of quantitative measurement. If it's possible to tune the pixel size to become consistent, the author can even go a step further to omit the eyepiece ruler for size measurement in future experiments. If not, the authors should still show an image with calibration slide, regardless.</li> <li>2. Scale bar is missing in Figure 2.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. "mehods" in abstract should be "methods"</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Have the authors tried imaging fluorescent samples? Tong <i>et al.</i> (<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2018.08.030">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2018.08.030</a>) developed a method to image ddPCR droplets with smartphone. If the method described here can also be applied to fluorescent images with high resolution and/or sensitivity, it can be a cheap and efficient way to do clinical sample diagnostic, especially in the time of covid.</li> <li>2. Have the authors tried using 3D-printed adaptors, instead of cellotapes? This will provide higher consistency and better fitting to eyepiece without the need to hold it by fingers.</li> </ol>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Kai-Chun Chang</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>University of California, United States</i></b>