



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_52013
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF NUTRITIONAL STATUS AND DIETARY PATTERN OF DIABETES PATIENTS ATTENDING OUT- PATIENT CLINICS IN ABUJA METROPOLIS, FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Overall the manuscript needs slight polishing of the English language preferably by a native English speaker, with some sentence needing rewording. For example:</p> <p>“Anthropometric indices showed height of 75%” should read “Anthropometric indices showed that the height of 75%”</p> <p>In the Abstract “72.7 ± 16.9 cm” should read “72.7 ± 16.9 kg”</p> <p>“normal BMI range, 1.7% underweight” should read “normal BMI range, with 1.7% underweight”</p> <p>The phrase “The diabetes epidemic is rapidly increasing non-communicable disease as a major health problem in sub-Saharan African” needs to be reworded in correct English</p> <p>The phrase “The treatment for diabetes requires a multiple approach” needs to be reworded in correct English</p> <p>The authors state “Anthropometric factors are commonly used as research apparatuses” but anthropometric factors are not physical objects so they cannot be described as apparatus. Please reword. Maybe use the word “tools”</p> <p>“Therefore there is need” should read “Therefore there is a need”</p> <p>“This comprised of adult male and female (18 - 65 years) with type-2 diabetic patients” should read “This was comprised of adult males and females (18 - 65 years) with type-2 diabetes”</p> <p>“confirmed diabetic by a Physician” should read “confirmed to be diabetic by a physician”</p> <p>“to the nearest o.1cm” should read “to the nearest 0.1cm”</p> <p>“48% are females; majority are educated” should read “48% are females; the majority are educated”</p> <p>In the Discussion a number of sentences such as “Result of this study showed that majority of these participants (85, 70.83%) are of ages 41 years and above because diabetes affect people mostly as they are aging due to some factors even though the diabetes is affecting the youths in recent times [4]” need to be rewritten in correct English</p> <p>In the Abstract “Anthropometric measurements” should not have a capital A</p> <p>The authors state “the world Reports have it”. What are these reports? Please specify.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>After “Abuja metropolis, federal capital territory” please include the country</p> <p>The abbreviations “FBG”, “CPG” and “HbA1c” need to be introduced in full</p> <p>Dobson’s formula seems to be incorrectly written and the formulas for BMI, WHR, WHtR, are missing</p> <p>“<i>et al.</i>” should always be in italics</p> <p>For Table 2a how were the cut-off points of 148cm and 45kg selected? And in Table 3 what were the cut-off values for each of the BMI categories?</p> <p>In Table 4 the authors use the word “Beverages” but they need to be more specific as a beverage can be any drink including water, alcohol, tea, etc. This word should also be clarified in the text</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Byron Baron
Department, University & Country	University of Malta, Malta