



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_52013
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF NUTRITIONAL STATUS AND DIETARY PATTERN OF DIABETES PATIENTS ATTENDING OUT- PATIENT CLINICS IN ABUJA METROPOLIS, FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Ethical Approval in the manuscript is unclear. What does FCDA stand for? Can you give the license number of the ethical approval? Your participants' ages are wide. How did you know if some of young participants were not type 1 DM? What does CPG stand for? What does F in table 3 mean? Are you sure that the list of foods covered all possible foods in your region? And are you sure that the dietary data of your participants were collected precisely and without bias? In my opinion, there are several types of foods unlisted in your study such as oil, bakery, etc. Why did you choose the height of 148 cm, the weight of 45 kg, and the hip circ. of 62 cm in the table 2a? Why was education level associated with being DM type 2? 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Veeravan Lekskulchai</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand</i>