



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_60469
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation between Serum Sodium and Potassium levels in Preeclampsia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	It's a very good paper, with a small account of patience. The methodology in the study is the correct one in exclusion and inclusion criteria's, the results indicate that the elevation of the serum sodium in 63.34% of subjects and the decrease of serum potassium in 56.6 % in patience with preeclampsia. In the discussion its mention that the abnormality of the pump (that maintain the active transport of Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> + ATPase) is responsible for the regulation of blood pressure causes hypermatremia and hypokalaemia. However this hypothesis about the malfunction of the pump wasn't confirmed in this study. The association of the hypermatremia and hypokalaemia with preeclamptic will be a factor to improve the management of the preeclamptic.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	This study could be the gate to a major investigation with a larger account of patience and study design more strict.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gonzalo Victor Torres Ortiz Cabrera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Mayor de San Simon, Bolivia</b>