



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_53423
Title of the Manuscript:	Changes in Morpho-Physiological and Yield Parameters of Rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) in Response to Ultraviolet-B (UV-B) Radiation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors present an important study on the effect of UV-B radiation on the growth of Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.). They report that this experiment has affected the morphological characteristics of plants. Physiological and biochemical parameters also influenced yield and yield attribution characteristics in plant culture and culture. The authors state that the number of panicles per hill was higher where plants were grown under natural solar radiation.</p> <p>The work presented remains original since at the level of plagiarism evaluation, only 16% of this document consists of text more or similar to those satisfied to 104 sources considered most relevant by URKUND. The largest section with similarities, contains 41 words and has a similarity index of 100% with its main source.</p> <p><b>NOTE THAT</b> the similarity index presented above does not indicate the presence of plagiarism or a lack of rigor in the document. There may be legitimate reasons for passages of the document to be found in the sources identified. It is up to the corrector to determine the actual presence of plagiarism or lack of rigor by deepening the analysis, the sources and the original document.</p> <p><b>The manuscript can be accepted after a minor revision.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Complete what is mentioned in yellow in your manuscript.</li> <li>- Unite the writing of references.</li> <li>- Change the writing of the temperature: we use (°C) and not the (°C).</li> <li>- Change the writing of the angle unit: we use the degree (°) and not zero (°).</li> <li>- Sometimes, you write T1, T2, T3 and sometimes you write T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, and T<sub>3</sub>. You need to unite the writing in the manuscript.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anouar Alami</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Faculty of Sciences, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Morocco</b>