



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_60987
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE OF PRE RELEASED RICE (ORYZA SATIVA L.) GENOTYPES UNDER DIFFERENT SOWING WINDOWS IN RABI SEASON.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Review of the article "<i>PERFORMANCE OF PRE RELEASED RICE (ORYZA SATIVA L.) GENOTYPES UNDER DIFFERENT SOWING WINDOWS IN RABI SEASON</i>" submitted to International Journal of Environment and Climate Change.</p> <p>1. The authors, in this paper, study was to investigate the effect of different sowing dates on growth and yield potential of pre released rice genotypes under irrigated conditions of Northern Telangana zone.</p> <p>2. The authors provide a field experiments during two consecutive rabi seasons of 2018-19 and 2019-20, on clay soils of agricultural research station, Kunaram, Telangana state, India.</p> <p>To improve the quality and performance of the manuscript, it must be addressed by making adjustments and taking the following notes:</p> <p>.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The researcher touched on the increase in the number of effective tillage, dry matter accumulation, and crop characteristics. He mentioned some references and did not mention the reasons that led to the increase and improvement of the return. Therefore, I recommend that you mention the reasons. 2- The researcher mentioned the change in crop production for the months November 20, December 5 and December 20 due to humidity and temperature, so it is necessary to mention the difference in humidity and temperature for these months. 3- In the paragraph, (It is better to choose the short-term genotype KNM 733 and follow the optimal seeding dates from December 5 to December 20 under the irrigated conditions of the North Telangana region) the researcher did not mention the characteristics of this area. 4- Discuss the economic feasibility of the tested treatment in detail. 5- Rewrite the conclusion to include a simplified idea of the researcher's findings. 6- The percentage of inference from the references attached to the evaluation form of the manuscript reached 40%, which is a high percentage, so it should be reduced by rewriting the paragraphs. 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kareem Ali Jasim
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq