



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_61035
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Cost-Benefits of Climate Change Adaptation Strategies of Cassava-Based Farmers in Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript needs serious revision especially on the Introduction. It is too long and must be separated from Literature review. Under the Methods and Materials, justification on why the sampling procedure was chosen. Sources should be minimised in the introduction so that we can hear the voice of the scholar. This also applies to discussion of findings, the authorities should be minimised at all costs and be considered under the literature review section.	
Minor REVISION comments	The font size in the abstract and the body of the document is different same with the line spacing	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muzerengi Tapiwa
Department, University & Country	University of KwaZulu Natal, South Africa