



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_62200
Title of the Manuscript:	Mycobacterium Avium Complex Presenting as a Lung Mass, broncho-pleural fistula and Empyema in an Immunocompetent Patient-A Case Report and Review of Literature
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is recommended to carry out an important revision of the language in the writing - The text does not adhere to the bibliographic and stylistic requirements outlined in the Guidelines for Authors. - Figures and tables do not comply with what it is specified in the authors' guide 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Correct the writing style for the scientific names of the mentioned bacteria - An organization in chronological segments of the studies carried out and a greater detail of them is recommended to facilitate the understanding of the clinical case. - It is recommended to review the discussion to avoid the repetition of previously provided data in this research and the unnecessary description of work by other authors. 	
Optional/General comments	It is a very interesting clinical case that should be disclosed because it will enrich the knowledge in the field; however, it requires major changes in the writing style, revision of the use of language, compliance with the format required by the journal and greater detail of the studies that have been carried out.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Romero Magali Andrea
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de La Plata (UNLP), Argentina