



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_53559
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATION OF QUALITY INDICATORS IN THE EVALUATION OF SUBTROPICAL ARGUIDOLLS AND HAPLUDOLLS IN FORMOSA (ARGENTINA)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In summary the conclusion of the work was not clear Failed to relate to the parameters analysed; The comment on line 151 should be placed in the discussion. This statement in line 292 is best characterized by the conclusion of the work The conclusion should bring the main research results clearly, meeting the research objectives.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Georgiana Eurides de Carvalho Marques
Department, University & Country	Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of Maranhão, Brazil