



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_54949
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal activity of Securidaca longepedunculata and Acacia gourmaensis hydro-ethanolic extracts against three rice seed-borne fungi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Where did you found this formular? Where did you inspire? From literature? Please indicate an author. Percentage inhibition of mycelia growth was calculated by using the formula: $\% \text{ Inhibition} = \frac{dc - dt}{dc} \times 100$ where: dc = average increase in mycelium growth in control; dt = average increase in mycelium growth in treatment. Good statistical analysis, interesting result, but the discussion is not strong! It's must be documented.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Aba-Toumhou Lucie</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Bangui, Central African Republic</i>