



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_56660
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF CAUSES AND EFFECTS OF DRUGS AND SUBSTANCES ABUSE AMONG YOUTH: A CASE STUDY OF KATSINA METROPOLIS (NORTH WEST NIGERIA)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Drug dependence studies are of high ethical sensitivity and need to be fully clarified Check if it is necessary to adjust the references to a style, vancouver ??	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The article says: " Ethical approval for the study was obtained from Katsina State Health Research Ethical Committee (HREC) State Ministry of Health", but considered that ethical approval should be further clarified. Was there informed consent?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Camilo Torres-Serna
Department, University & Country	Universidad Santiago de Cali, Colombia