



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_67341
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ABUSE OF CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM STIMULANTS AND ITS IMPACT ON THE YOUTH OF EASTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In this paper, it has some following typos, please author(s) check them carefully and fix them in the final version.</p> <p>(1) There are some mistakes in English grammar, for example, in abstract sometimes it uses the present tense, sometimes it uses the past tense;</p> <p>(2) The format of the references is inconsistent.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In this paper, it analyses the relationship/cause between the central nervous system stimulant abuse and its impact on youth in eastern Nigeria, it is quite interesting and significant. For better improving the quality of this paper, I suggest that, for the discussion/conclusion,</p> <p>(1) It would be more convincing if the author could give some quantitative analysis;</p> <p>(2) If the final analysis is presented with some tables and figures, it will be more intuitive and clear.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Junyan Li</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Kentucky, United States</i>