



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_67341
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ABUSE OF CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM STIMULANTS AND ITS IMPACT ON THE YOUTH OF EASTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors review the abuse of central nervous system stimulants and its impact on the youth of eastern Nigeria, while ask and answer six questions. There are some suggestions:</p> <p>This article has a lot to describe about four stimulants, i.e., Caffeine, Cocaine, Marijuana, and Nicotine, in the INTRODUCTION, but few of these stimulants are described in the rest of the article. Please describe more about the content of the stimulants to make the logic of the article more complete and the content closer</p> <p>The manuscript has no figures and tables, so please add some figures and tables to enrich the content.</p> <p>More related articles should be referred so as to broaden the scope of this paper.</p> <p>To help the youth, the question "Is there any treatment for the youth addicted to CNS stimulants in Eastern Nigeria?" should be investigated in more detail.</p> <p>Should elaborate more prospect forecast, e.g., pointed out the existing problem, proposed the possible development trend, pointed out the future research direction.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xingsi Xue
Department, University & Country	Fujian University of Technology, China