



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Oncology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_57755
Title of the Manuscript:	SITUATION OF CANCER AT THIKA LEVEL FIVE HOSPITAL, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>It is always exciting to get an article from a unit in Africa.                      Setting the scene is critical                      What type of hospital service are you offering                      The problem with true incidence and that of incidence of patients presenting with cancer to a service needs to be highlighted.                      The stage at presentation; and understanding of relevance                      The graphs in the manuscript need to be included not as images but as formatted graph types. Insert them as such using Microsoft Word. Images need to be clear, scans using a flatbed scanner are preferable and clearer than taking a photo of a document.                      Specify what a level five hospital is in the context of Kenya, how does it compare to other countries ratings.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The English unfortunately needs a major overhaul with help and editing from a person(s) who can edit, the assistance of language and writing software such as 'Grammarly' could prove useful here to the author. My own check on grammarly highlighted over 160 errors in language, spelling, punctuation and grammar.                      Cost issues are of concern for many doctors trying to publish                      I would be happy to source this service at no charge to the authors                      Standardisation of referencing style would be highly advised as there is a mix of styles here                      Care and review should be taken of text formatted around images as the order and spacing of text can be affected here</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Consider changing the title to something a little bit more catchy to attract the eye of the reader.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Carol Benn</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa</b>