



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_52910
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional composition of Little Millet Flour
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Introduction, Page 2: Asian countries contribution towards millet production has increased from 48.72% to 52.25% during 2014 [3]. Authors should use more modern information, because the information of 2014 is quite outdated. 2) Introduction: Author should more details as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.1 Growth characteristics of this plant (annual or perennial) 2.2 The current use of this plant. 3) Materials and Methods: Authors should specify the planting area of this millet grains, because climatic condition and planting area may affect to nutritional composition. 4) Materials and Methods: Authors should specify the seed of millet in this work was harvested at any period (immature stage or mature stage)?. 5) Materials and Methods: Authors should specify the variety of millet, which they used in this work. 6) Discussion: Authors should recommend that how should it be eaten, which will be benefit to people. 7) Discussion: Authors should compare the nutritional compositions of this plant in other varieties in order to get more information. 8) Lack of Conclusion 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Reference number 14: Thilagavathi T, Kanchana S, Banumathi P, Hemalatha G, Vanniarajan C and Sundar . Please check the accuracy of the last author's name. 2) Reference number 16: (<i>Panicum sumatrense</i>) change to (<i>Panicum sumatrense</i>) 3) Reference number 18: (<i>Eleusine coracana</i>) change to (<i>Eleusine coracana</i>) 4) Reference number 19: (<i>Setaria italica</i>) change to (<i>Setaria italica</i>) 5) Reference number 20: (<i>Panicum miliare</i>) change to (<i>Panicum miliare</i>) 	
Optional/General comments	-	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjawan Chutichudet
Department, University & Country	Maharakham University, Thailand