

## Original Research Article

# Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the incidence of insect pests in four rice cultures

### ABSTRACT

**Aim:** To determine the effect of local rice cultures and inorganic nitrogen fertilizer on the incidence of stem borer, *Scirpophagaincertulas* (Walker); Leaffolder, *Cnephalocrocismedinalis*(Guenee); and Gall midge, *Orseoliaoryzae* (Wood Mason) and on grain yield of rice.

**Study design:** A field experiment for two consecutive years was carried out in a split plot arrangement in randomized block design with three replications.

**Place and duration of the study:** Department of Entomology, Agricultural Research Station, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh during Kharif, 2013 and 2014.

**Methodology:** The rice cultures (NLR 20104, NLR 20106, NLR 3135 and NLR 33892) were attributed to experimental main plots and nitrogen levels (40, 80, 120, 160 and 200kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were attributed to the sub plots. Observations on incidence of stem borer, gall midge and leaf folder was recorded in randomly selected 10 hills per subplot in replication and per cent incidence was calculated.

**Results:** The pest incidence of the treatments with high N rate as 160 and 200kg/ha were higher (31.43 & 43.86% stem borer, 9.23 & 13.59% leaffolder and 2.75 & 3.00% gall midge, respectively) than that of lower N rate i.e. 40 and 80kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (19.24 & 20.04% stem borer, 7.37 & 7.95% leaffolder and 1.42 & 1.93% gall midge, respectively). Different rice cultures significantly influenced the leaf folder incidence but not stem borer and gall midge. Highest leaffolder incidence (13.44%) was noticed in NLR 20104 culture which was followed by NLR 3135 culture with 9.64% leaffolder incidence. Gall midge and leaffolder incidence was not significantly influenced by the combined effect of rice culture and nitrogen ~~levels,levels;~~ in ~~contrastcontrast,~~ interaction effect has a significant influence on stem borer incidence. Significantly lowest stem borer incidence (18.21%) was noticed with NLR 3135 rice culture treated with 40 N ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was statistically on par with the NLR 20106 rice culture treated with 40kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (18.23%) and NLR 20104 rice culture treated with 40kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (19.76%). Among the rice cultures, NLR 33892 culture might be due to having higher physiological indices had produced significantly highest grain yield (5955kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The highest/optimum grain yield (5726kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced by plots that received 120kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Further increase in nitrogen level beyond 120kg ha<sup>-1</sup> did not significantly improve the grain yield. Optimal N rate was revealed to be 120kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for four rice cultures for reducing the stem borer/leaf folder/gall midge incidence so as to minimize the cost of inputs for

pest control measures and for achieving high grain yield.

Conclusions: The incremental doses of nitrogen fertilizer significantly enhanced stem borer, leafhopper and gall midge infestation on rice, which further affect the grain yields.

~~decrease~~Decrease medical as well as financial burden, hence improving the management of cirrhotic patients. These predictors, however, need further work to validate reliability.

**Keywords:** Rice cultures, insect pest, nitrogen levels, yield.

Comment [ÉSdNC1]: Ok.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) belongs to the family of grasses (Poaceae), which is one of the most important cereal crops worldwide. It is the staple food for more than two billion people in developing countries (1). Andhra Pradesh ranks third in production in India which produces 128.95 lakh tons of rice and contributes 12% of total rice produced in country. Insect pests are the major biotic constraints in enhancing rice productivity that cause 20-30 per cent losses every year, besides diseases and weeds. Incidence of Yellow stem borer, *Scirpophaga incertulas* (Walker) on rice was reported throughout the country with a varied level of severity and the reported yield losses ranged from 3 to 65 per cent (2). This loss may go up to 70-90% during the years of severe devastation. The rice leafhopper, *Cnephalocrocis medinalis* (Guenee) is one of the destructive pests affecting in ~~all the~~entire rice ecosystem in Asia. The yield loss is from 30 to 80 per cent under epidemic condition (3). It was considered as minor pest, but now has assumed the major pest status in the entire country particularly in area of high fertilizer usage. Although occasional outbreaks or severe damage to the rice crop by rice gall midge were reported prior to the 1960's (4), the rice gall midge problem became extensive following the introduction and widespread of dwarf and high yielding cultivars. The Asian rice gall midge, *Orseolia oryzae* (Wood Mason) has been reported as a pest in several ~~asian~~Asian countries. Saroja and Raju (1981) reported that gall midge population increased with increasing levels of nitrogen.

Large scale cultivation of high yielding varieties, monocropping, close planting, water regime, excessive use of nitrogenous fertilizers further aggravated the pest incidence. Nitrogen plays key role in rice production and it is required in large amount. Nitrogen is the most important limiting nutrient in rice production (6). Though the nitrogen has a positive influence on the production of effective tillers per plant, yield and yield attributes (7 and 8) excessive or high level of nitrogen usage increases leafhopper, stem borer and gall midge infestation (9, 10, 11). The information on the influence of nitrogenous fertilizer levels on the pest complex of rice is scanty. It is necessary to find out the response of each and every rice cultivar against major insect pests at different nitrogen levels. A suitable combination of variety and rate of nitrogen is necessary to reduce insect pest incidence and for getting better yields. The present study was aimed to identify the optimum dose of nitrogen against field incidence of insect pest and to result higher grain yield.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

In order to explore the effect of different rice cultivars (4 rice cultures) and the effect of various N fertilizer doses (5 levels) on the incidence of rice insect pests the experiments were conducted in a split plot arrangement in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. The rice cultures (NLR 20104, NLR 20106, NLR 3135 and NLR 33892) were attributed to experimental main plots and nitrogen levels (40, 80, 120, 160 and 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) were attributed to the sub plots. The total number of plots were 60 and the unit plot size was 4m X 2.5m. ~~the~~The main and sub plots were partitioned with bunds 1m high and 0.5 m wide. Rice seedlings were transplanted at 30 days after sowing with inter and intra row

spacing 15X10cm at two seedlings per hill in to the sub-plots. Nitrogen was applied in 3 splits ~~i.e.~~ 25% as basal, 50% at 15 days after transplantation at maximum tillering stage and 25% at panicle initiation stage. Total phosphorous was applied as basal and potash was applied as 50 % basal and 50% at panicle initiation stage for all treatments. Fertilizer treatments are given in Table 1. Source of fertilizer was Urea, Diammonium phosphate (DAP) and Murate of Potash (MOP). The susceptibility of four cultures of rice against stem borer, *S. incertulas*, leaffolder, *C. medinalis* and *medinalis* and gall midge, *O. oryzae* ~~was~~ studied in five levels of nitrogenous fertilizers.

Observations on the incidence of stem borer in terms of dead hearts were recorded at 30 days after transplantation (DAT). Observation on dead heart incidence was recorded by counting the total number of tillers and number of dead hearts in randomly selected 10 hills per subplot in replication by leaving the border lines from sides at 30 DAT and the per cent dead hearts incidence was calculated using the following formula.

$$\text{Per cent stem borer incidence} = \frac{\text{Number of dead hearts}}{\text{Total number of tillers}} \times 100$$

Observation on the leaffolder incidence in terms of number of damaged leaves by leaffolder was recorded at the time of peak leaffolder infestation. The observations were recorded in randomly selected 10 hills per subplot in replication by leaving the border lines form sides and the per cent leaffolder damaged leaves were calculated as follows.

$$\text{Per cent leaffolder damage} = \frac{\text{Number of damaged leaves}}{\text{Total number of leaves}} \times 100$$

Observations on the incidence of gall midge in terms of silver shoots were recorded at 30 days after transplantation (DAT). Observation on gall midge incidence was recorded by counting the total number of tillers and number of silver shoot in randomly selected 10 hills per subplot in replication by leaving the border lines from sides and the per cent gall midge incidence was calculated using the following formula.

$$\text{Per cent stem borer incidence} = \frac{\text{Number of silver shoots}}{\text{Total number of tillers}} \times 100$$

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Stem borer

The data presented in Table 1. revealed that individual effect of N levels and interaction effect of rice culture and N levels was found to be significant in case of stem borer. Significantly lowest per cent incidence of stem borer (19.24%) was recorded in the plots treated with 40kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, followed by the plots treated with 80kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (20.04%), 120kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (27.67%) and 160kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (31.43%). The treatment ~~which that~~ received 80kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 120kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> suffered with moderated stem borer incidence. The results further show that the pest incidence increases with the increase in nitrogen fertilizer doses. The highest stem borer incidence (43.86%) was recorded with 200kg nitrogen application per hectare. The dead hearts and white ears caused by yellow stem borer increased with higher nitrogen levels (12). The young stem borer larvae feed within the leaf sheath, and older larvae feed inside the stem and vascular tissues. The application of nitrogen fertilizer can increase the succulence in stems and leaves, which can lead to greater stem borer attack, higher larval weights and shorter the developmental time. Liu and Qin (QUOTE THE YEAR) reviewed the population of Yellow stem borer in china and found that the rates of damage, densities, and the weight and sizes of larval body of stem borer increased significantly with the increase in nitrogen. Findings of the present study are also in accordance with Singh et al (QUOTE THE YEAR), who reported that NPK ratio of 120:-60:-60kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the susceptibility of rice

**Comment [ÉSdNC2]:** Please check the writing throughout the text.

**Formatted:** Superscript

**Formatted:** Superscript

**Formatted:** Superscript

**Formatted:** Superscript

**Formatted:** Superscript

**Formatted:** Superscript

**Comment [ÉSdNC3]:** Please, quote the year.

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Comment [ÉSdNC4]:** Please, quote the year.

**Formatted:** Superscript

crop to stem borers. Saha and Saharia (QUOTE THE YEAR), reported the incidence of stem borers from 8.36% in plots without nitrogen fertilizers to 20.12% in those treated with 100Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. This relationship is further substantiated by the positive correlation established between damage incidence and nitrogen levels (r=0.960) (Fig. 1).

**Comment [ÉsdNC5]:** Please, quote the year.

**Formatted:** Superscript

**Table 1: Per cent Incidence\*\* of Stem borer as affected by rice cultures and nitrogen levels**

Variety/N levels	NLR 20104 (V1)	NLR 20106 (V2)	NLR 3135 (V3)	NLR 33892 (V4)	Mean*
40kg N/ha (N1)	19.76 <sup>a</sup>	18.23 <sup>a</sup>	18.21 <sup>a</sup>	20.76 <sup>b</sup>	19.24 <sup>a</sup>
80kg N/ha (N2)	23.82 <sup>c</sup>	27.81 <sup>ef</sup>	23.81 <sup>c</sup>	24.71 <sup>c</sup>	20.04 <sup>b</sup>
120kg N/ha (N3)	27.72 <sup>e</sup>	31.71 <sup>g</sup>	24.81 <sup>cd</sup>	26.42 <sup>de</sup>	27.67 <sup>c</sup>
160kg N/ha (N4)	34.56 <sup>h</sup>	29.72 <sup>ig</sup>	31.76 <sup>g</sup>	29.71 <sup>f</sup>	31.43 <sup>d</sup>
200kg N/ha (N5)	50.72 <sup>k</sup>	42.64 <sup>j</sup>	42.56 <sup>j</sup>	39.62 <sup>i</sup>	43.86 <sup>e</sup>
Mean	31.32	30.02	28.23	28.24	
		SEM ±	CD @1%	CV %	
Variety		0.3685	NS	24.68	
N levels		0.4120	1.179		
Interaction		0.8241	2.360		

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0.12 cm

**Formatted:** Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

**Formatted:** Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

**Formatted:** Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

**Formatted:** Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

**Formatted:** Font: 10 pt, Not Bold


**Formatted:** Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

**Formatted:** Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

**Formatted:** Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

\*Means separated in column followed by the same letters are not significantly different at P=0.01. \*\*Mean of two years.

Fig. 1: Correlation between per cent stem borer incidence and different nitrogen levels.



There is no significant difference in stem borer incidence among four rice cultures tested. Highest (31.32%) stem borer incidence was recorded in NLR 20104 culture which was followed by 30.02 per cent in NLR 20106, 28.23 per cent dead hearts in NLR 3135 and NLR 33892. All four cultures were more or less equally suffered with stem borer incidence at different nitrogen doses.

The interaction effect of rice culture and different nitrogen levels significantly affected the incidence of stem borer. Significantly lowest stem borer incidence (18.21%) was noticed with NLR 3135 rice culture treated with 40 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was statistically on par with the NLR 20106 rice culture treated with 40 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (18.23%) and NLR 20104 rice culture treated

**Formatted:** Superscript

**Formatted:** Superscript

with 40kg N/ha (19.76%). It was followed by the V4N1 with 20.76% stem borer incidence. Stem borer incidence was found to be significantly highest with the combined effect of NLR 20104 rice culture and 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (V1N5). It was followed by the interaction of V2N5 and V3N5 with 42.64 and 42.56 per cent leaffolder incidence.

### Leaffolder

The data presented in Table. 2 revealed that individual effect of rice culture and N levels was found to be significant and interaction effects were non significant in case of leaf folder. The lowest per cent incidence (7.37%) of leaffolder was recorded in the plots treated with 40kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, and it was on par with the other treatments viz., 80kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 120kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 160kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> with per cent leaffolder incidence of 7.95, 8.78 and 9.23%, respectively and leaffolder incidence was increased drastically (13.59%) in the plots applied with 200kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and significantly differed with all other treatments. These results were supported by the positive correlation obtained between damage incidence and nitrogen levels with correlation coefficient, r=0.882. (Fig. 2). The highest leaffolder incidence in the plots with 200kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> might be due to the positive effect of nitrogen fertilization on egg laying and survival of leaffolder larvae. Moreover, the significant effect of higher nitrogen fertilization in the small scale experiment was attributed mainly to an oviposition choice of the moths in plots with higher nitrogen doses. Kraker (QUOTE THE YEAR) reviewed 15 published papers on field trials and found that in a large number of trials the increase in nitrogen fertilization led to higher injury levels by leaffolders. In the laboratory experiments the use of nitrogen fertilizer affected several bionomic characteristics of rice leaffolder, including the increase in larval survival rate, leaf area consumed, pupal weight, moth longevity, fecundity and preference of oviposition (16, 17).

**Table 2. Per cent Incidence\*\* of leaf folder as affected by rice cultures and nitrogen levels**

Variety/N levels	NLR 20104	NLR 20106	NLR 3135	NLR 33892	Mean
	(V1)	(V2)	(V3)	(V4)	
40kg N/ha (N1)	10.26	4.10	6.67	5.13	7.37 <sup>a</sup>
80kg N/ha (N2)	11.54	6.15	8.97	5.38	7.95 <sup>a</sup>
120kg N/ha (N3)	12.31	7.18	9.74	8.97	8.78 <sup>a</sup>
160kg N/ha (N4)	12.31	6.92	10.26	7.44	9.23 <sup>a</sup>
200kg N/ha (N5)	20.77	11.28	12.56	9.74	13.59 <sup>b</sup>
Mean	13.44 <sup>c</sup>	7.13 <sup>a</sup>	9.64 <sup>b</sup>	7.33 <sup>a</sup>	
		SEM ±	CD @ 5%	CV %	
Variety		0.82	2.274	27.45	
N levels		0.92	2.542		
Interaction		1.83	NS		

\*Means separated in column/row followed by the same letters are not significantly different at P=.05. \*\*Mean of two years

Fig. 2: Correlation between per cent leaf folder incidence and different nitrogen levels.

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Comment [ÉSdNC6]: Please, quote the year.

Comment [ÉSdNC7]: Please, from here, check the sequence of references.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.12 cm

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial


Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold



Leaf folder incidence was significantly varied among four rice cultures ranging from 13.44% in NLR 20104 to 7.13% in NLR 20106. The rice culture NLR 33892 recorded on par leaf folder incidence (7.33%) with the NLR 20106 which was followed by the rice culture NLR 3135 with 9.64% leaf folder incidence. Baby rani (QUOTE THE YEAR), Islam and Karim (QUOTE THE YEAR), and Punithavalli et al (QUOTE THE YEAR), reported that the plant characters like leaf thickness, trichome density and trichome length were significantly higher on some genotypes which were resistant to leaf folder damage. The leaf folder thrived well on susceptible rice genotypes but failed to grow, survive and reproduce satisfactorily on resistant genotypes (22). Low fecundity and low survival percentage of leaf folder on resistant and wild genotypes than susceptible and other cultivated genotypes has a potential antibiosis factor leading to considerable reduction in the population build up of leaf folder compared with that in a susceptible variety (23). The poor growth of leaf folder larvae due to deficiencies of primary nutrients and also potential antibiosis factor in some of rice genotypes (24)

Comment [ÉSdNC8]: Please, quote the year.

Comment [ÉSdNC9]: Please, quote the year.

Comment [ÉSdNC10]: Please, quote the year.

### Gall midge

Gall midge incidence was significantly differed across different nitrogen levels (Table 3). The results indicate that significantly lowest gall midge incidence (1.42%) was recorded in the plots treated with 40kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, followed by the plots treated with 80kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (1.93%) and 120kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (2.72%). The treatment which that received 160kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 200kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> were on par with each other and recorded highest per cent (2.75 and 3.00%, respectively) gall midge incidence. The results further show that the gall midge incidence increases with the increase in nitrogen fertilizer doses. The increased midge incidence was attributed to luxuriant growth associated with nitrogen fertilization. Such growth predisposes plant to severe damage by herbivores. This result is in agreement with Ukwungwu (QUOTE THE YEAR), who stated that addition of nitrogen fertilizer resulted in a significant increase in pest damage. Similar results were reported by Saroja and Raju (QUOTE THE YEAR), who reported that gall midge population increased with increasing levels of nitrogen. These results were supported by the positive correlation obtained between damage incidence and nitrogen levels (r=0.948) Fig.3.

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Comment [ÉSdNC11]: Please, quote the year.

Comment [ÉSdNC12]: Please, quote the year.

**Table 3. Per cent Incidence\*\* of gall midge as affected by rice cultures and nitrogen levels**


Variety/N levels	NLR 20104 (V1)	NLR 20106 (V2)	NLR 3135 (V3)	NLR 33892 (V4)	Mean

40kg N/ha (N1)	0.67	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.42 <sup>a</sup>
80kg N/ha (N2)	1.05	2.67	1.67	2.33	1.93 <sup>b</sup>
120kg N/ha (N3)	2.56	2.33	2.67	3.33	2.72 <sup>c</sup>
160kg N/ha (N4)	3.67	3.00	2.33	2.00	2.75 <sup>d</sup>
200kg N/ha (N5)	2.33	2.67	3.00	4.00	3.00 <sup>d</sup>
Mean	2.06	2.53	2.33	2.53	

	SEM ±	CD @ 5%	CV %
Variety	0.24	NS	39.39
N levels	0.269	0.745	
Interaction	0.538	NS	

\*Means separated in columns followed by the same letters are not significantly different at  $P < 0.05$ . \*\*Mean of two years

Fig. 3: Correlation between per cent gall midge incidence and different nitrogen levels.



There is no significant difference in gall midge incidence among four rice cultures tested. Highest gall midge of 2.53% was recorded in NLR 20106 and NLR 33892 and lowest incidence was noticed NLR 20104 (2.06%). All four cultures were equally affected with gall midge.

### Grain yield

The rice culture had found to be significant influence on grain yield of rice (Table 4). Among the rice cultures, NLR 33892 culture might be due to having higher physiological indices had produced significantly highest grain yield ( $5955 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), whereas NLR 20106 and NLR 3135 were at par with each other with grain yield of  $5469$  &  $5562 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ , respectively. Significant lowest grain yield was attained with NLR 20104 ( $4919 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ). The report of the Netanos and Kiotrogas and Kiotrogas (QUOTE THE YEAR), showed, showed that the cultivars of rice which have higher physiological indices will have better growth and higher yield. Azarpour et al (QUOTE THE YEAR), also reported the same. Significant variations in the grain yield of rice varieties have also been reported by many workers (29, 30, 31, 32).

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.12 cm

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold, Not All caps

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not All caps

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not All caps

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not All caps

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript


Formatted: Superscript

Comment [ÉSdNC13]: Please, quote the year.

Comment [ÉSdNC14]: Please, quote the year.







#### 4. CONCLUSION

The present investigation demonstrated that incremental doses of nitrogen fertilizer significantly enhanced infestation by stem borer, leaffolder and gall midge on rice. The pest incidence of the treatments with high N rate as ~~160 and 160~~ and 200kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were higher than that of lower N rate ~~i.e.~~ 40 and 80kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The stem borer incidence of plots with low N rate (40 and 80kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was less than twenty per cent and caused less loss on grain yield compared to that of higher N rate (200 and 160kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with more than forty per cent stem borer incidence caused nearly seven per cent yield reduction. Further, application of N-fertilizers beyond 120kg ha<sup>-1</sup> did not result in any added advantage in terms of yield. Therefore the results suggest that the high N rate leads to a larger pest incidence, which further affect the grain yield of rice.

Different rice cultures significantly influenced the leaffolder incidence but not stem borer and gall midge. Optimal N rate was revealed to be 120kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for four rice cultures for reducing the stem borer/leaf folder/gall midge incidence so as to minimize the cost of inputs for pest control measures and for achieving high grain yield. Combination of nitrogen and rice cultures did not produce significant effect on incidence of leaf folder and gall ~~midge, midge~~ in ~~contrastcontrast~~ interaction effect has a significant influence on stem borer incidence.

#### REFERENCES

1. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAO Quarterly Bulletin of Statistics. 1995, 8: 1-2.
2. Ghose R L M, Ghatge M B and Subramanyam V. Pests of Rice. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. New delhi. 1960; Pp. 248-257.
3. Raveeshkumar G. Life cycle and abundance of rice leaffolder, Cnaphalocrocismedinalis (Guenee). A review. Journal of Natural Sciences Research. 2015, 5(15): 103-105.
4. Pasalu I C and Rajamani S. Strategies in utilizing host plant resistance in gall midge management. In: Rapusas H R, Schiller J M, Heong K L, editors. Workshop report on rice gall midge management. Vientiane, Lao PD R, Manila (Philippines). International Rice Research Institute. 1996; P. 79-95.

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

5. Saroja R and Raju N. Varietal reaction to rice stem borer under different nitrogen levels. *Int. Rice Res. Notes*. 1981.6(1):12.
6. Fillery, I R P, Simpson J R and De Datta S K. Influence of field environment and fertilizer management on ammonia loss from flooded rice. *J. Soil Sci. Soc. America*. 1984, 48: 914-20
7. Jashim C, Ahmed U and Ahmed K U. Response of rice varieties to applied N in saline soils. *Int. Rice. Res. Newsl*. 1984, 9: 22.
8. BRRI (Bangladesh Rice Research Institute). 1990. Nitrogen response of promising variety, Annual Report, p: 95. Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur.
9. Subbaih K K and Morachan Y B. Effect of nitrogen nutrition and rice varieties on the incidence of leaf folder (*Cnephalocrocismedinalis* Guen). *Madras Agric. J.* 1974; 61(9): 11-13.
10. Mahadev Pramanick, Das M, Gosh M R, and Mukherjee N. Effect of fertilizer treatments on growth, productivity, insect pests and disease incidence on rice. *Madras Agric. J.* 1995; 82: 9-10: 525-527.
11. Sinclair T. Historical changes in harvest index and crop nitrogen accumulation. *Crop Sci.*, 1998, 38: 638-643.
12. Yein B R and Das G R. Effect of spacing and nitrogen levels on the incidence of insect pests of rice. *Pesticide*. 1988, 22: 37-40.
13. Liu G J and Qin H G. The advance in rice stem borer research in china. *Entomol Knowl*. 1997; 34: 171-174.
14. Singh M P, Sing V P and Varma S C. Effect of modified urea material on the performance of rice under varying nitrogen levels. *Indian J. Agron*. 1990, 35: 384-390.
15. Saha N N and Saharia D. Effects of dates of transplanting and levels of nitrogen on the incidence of stem borer, *Tryporyza incertulas* (wlk) in paddy in Assam. *Indian J. Entomology*. 1970, 32 (3): 225-229.
16. de kraker J, Rabbinge R, Huis A V, Lentern JC V, Heong K L. Impact of nitrogenous-fertilization on the population dynamics and natural control of rice leaf folder (*Lep: Pyralidae*). *Intl J Pest Manag*. 2000, 46: 225-235.
17. Liang G W, Lua G H and Li C F. Effects of fertilizer application on the adult and egg density of the rice leaf folder, *Guangdong Agric Sci*. 1984, 14 (2): 34-35.
18. Baby Rani W. Studies on ecology, host plant resistance and management of leaf folder complex on rice. Ph. D., thesis, Agricultural College & Research Institute, Madurai, 1999, 219 pp.
19. Islam Z and Karim A N M R. Leaf folding behaviour of *Cnephalocrocismedinalis* (Guenée) and *Marasmia patnalis* Bradley, and the influence of rice leaf morphology on damage incidence. *Crop Protection*. 1997, 16: 215-220.

20. Punithavalli M, Muthukrishnan N M and BalajiRajkumar M. Influence of rice leaf morphology on the folding characteristics of rice leaffolder, *Cnaphalocrocismedinalis*. Indian Journal of Plant Protection, 2011, 39: 93-99.
21. Punithavalli M, Muthukrishnan N M and BalajiRajkumar M. Influence of rice genotypes on folding and spinning behaviour of leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocismedinalis* and its interaction with leaf damage. Rice Science. 2013, 20: DOI: 10.1016/S1672- 6308 (13)60154-7.
22. Abenes M L P and Khan Z R. Biology of rice leaffolders on susceptible IR 36 and resistant TKM 6. International Rice research Newsletter. 1990, 15: 14.
23. Khan Z R and Joshi R C. Varietal resistance to *Cnaphalocrocismedinalis* (G) in rice. A review. Crop Protection, 9 : 243-251.
24. Villanueva F F D and Khan Z R. Mode of feeding on selected wild rices and weight gain of first instar larvae o rice leaffolder. International Rice Research Newsletter. 1988, 13: 17.
25. Ukwungwu M N. Effects of spacing and nitrogen fertilization on infestation by the rice gall midge, *Orseoliaoryzivora* Harris and Gagne (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) in Nigeria. Tropical Pest Management. 1987; 3(2): 164-165.
26. Saroja R and Raju N. Effects of nitrogen fertilizer levels and spacing on rice gall midge and leaffolder damage. I R R N. 1982; 7(4): 10.
27. Netanos DA, Koutroubas SD. Dry matter and N accumulation and translocation for indica and japonica rice under mediterranean conditions. Field Crops Research, 2002. 74, 93 -101.
28. AzarpourE ,Moraditochae M and Hamid Reza Bozorgi. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer management on growth analysis of rice cultivars. Interanational Journal of Biosciences, 2014: 4(5): 35-47.
29. Ajeet Singh R, Namdeo K N. Performance of conventional and hybrid rice varieties under different fertility levels. Ann. Plant Soil Res. 2005; 7(1): 91-92.
30. Mittoliya V K. Response of rice varieties to different nitrogen levels under transplanted conditions. 2006; M. Sc. Thesis, JNKVV, College of Agriculture. Rewa (M. P).
31. Lar OO, Shivay Y S and Kumar D. Effect nitrogen and sulphur fertilization on yield attributes productivity and nutrient uptake of aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian J. Agric. Sci. 2007; 77(11): 772-775.
32. Singh U N and Tripathi B N. Response of rice cultivars to zinc sodic soil. Ann. Plant Soil res. 2008: 10(1): 75-77.
33. Zhang YJ, Zhou YR, Du B, Yang JC. Effects of nitrogen nutrition on grain yield of upland and paddy rice under different cultivation methods. ActaAgronomicaSinica 2008. 6, 1005-1013.

34. Lampayan RM, Bouman BAM, Dios JLD, Espiritu AJ, Soriano JB, Lactaon AT. Yield of aerobic rice in rain fed lowlands of the philippines as affected by nitrogen management and row spacing. *Field Crops Research*. 2010. 116, 165-174.
35. Lin XQ, Zhu DF, Chen HZ, Zhang YP. Effects of plant density and nitrogen application rate on grain yield and nitrogen uptake of super hybrid rice. *Rice Science* 2009. 2, 138–142.
36. Rezaei M, ShokriVahed H, Amiri E, Motamed MK, Azarpour E. 2009. The effects of irrigation and nitrogen management on yield and water productivity of rice. *World Applied Sciences Journal* 2, 203-210.
37. Peng S, Cassman KG, Virmani SS, Sheehy J, Khush GS Yield potential trends of tropical rice since the release of IR8 and the challenge of increasing rice yield potential. *Crop Sci*. 1999, 39: 1552-1559.
38. Djaman K, Mel VC, Ametonou FY, Namaky RE, Diallo MD. Effect of Nitrogen Fertilizer Dose and Application Timing on Yield and Nitrogen Use Efficiency of Irrigated Hybrid Rice under Semi-Arid Conditions. *J AgriSci Food Res*. 2018. 9: 223.
39. Harrell, D. L., B. S. Tubaña, J. Lofton and Y. Kanke. Rice response to nitrogen fertilization under stale seedbed and conventional tillage systems. *Agron. J*. 2011. 103: 494-500.