



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_53585
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMISTRY AND BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES OF LEAVES AND PULP EXTRACTS FROM ZIZYPHUS MAURITIANA (LAM.) COLLECTED IN MALI
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript is good in its contents and composition, only needs a little improvement	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Keywords are usually arranged in alphabetical</p> <p>In row 48 Title should be moved to the next page so that it is not separate from its content</p> <p>In row 54 what is the mesh size of powder particles?</p> <p>In row 65 the nominal value must be written how many milligrams</p> <p>In row 71 What is the other tube for? used as a reference ?</p> <p>In row 90 What does it mean ?</p> <p>In row 95 We recommend that tables on one page. The letters in the table must be smaller than the text.</p> <p>In row 167 what is the meaning of this sentence ?</p> <p>In row 197 Title should be moved to the next page so that it is not separate from its content</p> <p>In row 214 We recommend this sentence moved to the next page, this space for title Figure 5 and Figure 6, because there is no title Figure 5 and Figure 6.</p> <p>In row 262 italic</p>	
Optional/General comments	There are 3 from 18 references not up to date (more than 10 years)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Maria Bintang</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>IPB University, Indonesia</i>