



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_60886
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Some Cameroonian Cocoyam Xanthosoma sagittifolium (L.) Schott. Cultivars/Landraces to Tissue Culture Techniques
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is well written with few grammatical errors. The work is sound academically. This objectives is not specific Are you determining growth response on growth media or carrying cocoyam micropropagation or both. The conclusion did not state otherwise	
Minor REVISION comments	The author should attend to some comments shown in the article. Although, some references cited were very old.	
Optional/General comments	Fairly good research article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olawale Olatidoye
Department, University & Country	Yaba College of Technology, Nigeria