



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_52379
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE PRODUCTION PROCESSES OF DIFFERENT BRANDS OF SACHET WATER SOLD IN THE OPEN MARKET IN ADO-EKITI, SOUTHWEST, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Too long phrases, short them. Why chocolate agar? Which method for testing water samples? Were filtered? Or? Always 4×10^3 cfu/ml in all samples ? If non coliform, what germ? Refer 400, 800 and 80 as other values . Number for references and change with names in the text. Adjust English</p>	
Optional/General comments	This work, very basic, may be useful for prevention of infections in this geographic area.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Antonietta Toscano
Department, University & Country	University of Catania, Italy