



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_50357</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Diagnostic performance of blood film microscopy and PfHRP2-based RDT in a routine clinical setting of a secondary health facility in Ghana</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The author(s) provided interesting results of "Diagnostic performance of blood film microscopy and PfHRP2-based RDT in a routine clinical setting of a secondary health facility in Ghana". I'm impressed by the writing style and good use of English language. However the following observations should be noted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The abstract section should be in "blocked form" which is the standard style.</li> <li>The in-text reference (6,7,8) should be corrected to [6,7,8].</li> <li>The author(s) should provide the reference number of the ethical clearance obtained from the Ethical Committee.</li> <li>Try as much as possible to avoid the use of <i>et al.</i> in reference list. Give the names of all authors where possible. Example: 2. Parsel, S.M., Gustafson, S.A., Friedlander, E., Shnyra, A.A., Adegbulu, A.J., Liu, Y., Parrish, N.M., Jamal, S.A., Lofthus, E., Ayuk, L., Awasom, C., Henry, C.J., McArthur, C.P. Malaria over-diagnosis in Cameroon: diagnostic accuracy of Fluorescence and Staining Technologies (FAST) Malaria Stain and LED microscopy versus Giemsa and bright field microscopy validated by polymerase chain reaction. <i>Infect Dis Poverty</i>. 2017; 6(1):32.</li> <li>All tables and figures should be moved to Results section, not after your references.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	A comprehensive study of the subject matter.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abdu Umar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Nigeria</b>