



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	2019/JAMMR/51544
Title of the Manuscript:	GORDON DRY GIN (MORINGA CITRUS BLEND) INDUCE ADENOMATOUS HYPERPLASIA IN FEMALE SPRAGUE DAWLEY RATS
Type of Article:	Research article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Although the authors have addressed some of the questions raised by the reviewer, they have simply ignored other queries.</p> <p>For example, some major concerns raised by the reviewer were not addressed by the authors.</p> <p>MAJOR QUESTIONS</p> <p>1) Motivation and hypotheses of the present work should be clearly stated in the introduction section.</p> <p>This was already mentioned in previous reviewer's comments but the authors have chosen to ignore.</p> <p>a) What is the motivation for the authors to study the histopathological effects of moringa? Are there reports showing that this type of study that helps to conclude about the activity on fertility or pregnancy etc...</p> <p>b) Why the authors have chosen to carry out their study on uterus instead of other organs like ovary?</p> <p>Hence, the authors should highlight some previous reports on <i>in vitro</i> or <i>in vivo</i> effects of alcohol binge drinking, plant extracts, etc... on some reproductive organs in female rats.</p> <p>2) Did the authors perform the statistical analyses? This is found nowhere in this manuscript. The significance of the results should be mentioned on the bars of figures I, II, and III. Without this, it become difficult to know about the significance of the results in these figures.</p> <p>3) In the conclusion section, the future prospects of the present work should be stated.</p>	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Minor concerns:

1) The reference section should be rewritten according to Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research (JMMAR) guidelines for references.

For example, as per JAMMR guidelines for authors, a reference of a research article should be referred as: "Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98."

How do the authors could not format the reference section this way?

2) Also, the authors should check if the references cited in the text are matching with those mentioned in the reference section and vice versa.

3) The reviewer reiterates that there are still stylistic and grammatical errors throughout the manuscript and that the authors should look upon this aspect.

In addition to these, the authors should provide a pointwise response to the comments highlighted in the MS file in order to facilitate further re-review by the Reviewer/Editor.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Pone Kamdem Boniface</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Sao Paulo, Brazil</i>