



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_53441</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparison the effect of paracetamol and ketorolac on pain relief after cesarean section</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Methods-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The author has mentioned double blind study design; however the details of blinding are not clear. Kindly mention the details of which type of blinding and its procedure.</li> <li>2) Also the method of randomization is not properly mentioned, needs to be mentioned in detailed.</li> <li>3) Ethical approval number with the date has to be mentioned in ethical aspect</li> <li>4) Statement on the obtaining informed consent of research participants needs clarity "All patients and their wives received informed consent form" as pregnant women were study participants/patients and enrolled</li> </ol> <p><b>Data analysis method</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) "K2 statistical tests for demographic variables and Mann-Whitney test for nonparametric variables. <math>\alpha</math> was considered significant at 0.05 level." The details and result of demographic variable tables are not mentioned in the manuscript.</li> <li>2) Also which parameter was assessed by Mann-Whitney test in not clear? Kindly provide clarity on the statement</li> <li>3)</li> </ol> <p><b>Discussion</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Kindly modify the first paragraph of discussion to highlight only the key findings of the study</li> <li>2) the discussion of other author studies needs to be modified , highlight m the key findings which are comparable with the manuscript study (paracetamol and ketorolac)</li> <li>3) Add discussion on mechanism of action of paracetamol and ketorolac and possible benefit of ketorolac</li> <li>4) Limitation of the study is not mentioned. Kindly mention limitations of the study at the end of discussion</li> </ol> <p><b>Conflict of interest</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Authors conflict of interest statement is missing, need to be mentioned</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Introduction</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2) Rationale of the study is not properly getting reflected in introduction. Kindly provide the rationale for specifically comparing the said two analgesic drugs study (paracetamol and ketorolac) to strengthen the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pradeep Jadhav</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>MGM Institute of Health Sciences, India</b>