



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_54420
Title of the Manuscript:	OCULAR PRESENTATIONS OF SICKLE CELL DISEASE PATIENTS IN A NIGERIAN HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>IF Patients' gender and educational status were not found to be determinants of development of refractory error, retinopathy and glaucoma WHY A TABLE? In discussion may be useful divide in a better way results of present study from other results, cited in literature. English language is not very good, as punctuation. Tables may be resumed, too data not useful for results! References are to be more uniform.</p> <p>WHY "Patients were recruited randomly from the paediatric and adult sickle cell clinic over a period of 8 months.? On the basis of phenotype?</p> <p>DISCUSSION : Two patients (7.1%) had increased cup to disc ratio and were tagged glaucoma suspects or RESULTS: while 2(7.1%) had glaucoma? Which other studies to confirm suspect?</p>	
Optional/General comments	This work may be useful in this geographic area, but may be better if it could be shorter.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Antonietta Toscano
Department, University & Country	University of Catania, Italy