



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_54508
Title of the Manuscript:	The Challenges of Directly Observed Treatment Shortcourse (DOTS) implementation for Tuberculosis management in Anambra State, Nigeria; A comparative analysis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Most observation are directly within the text, Correct abstract as indicated Very interesting introduction, but too long, the authors need to summarise it in a comprehensive way., The methods must be written in an acceptable format, this is a paper for publication not a thesis write-up Results, poor description of tables with percentages not explained with a total, also no total within the tables, reporting is adequate/ Discussion is adequate</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Need to update some information/datadata seen and mentioned in the text	
Optional/General comments	<p>This paper look like an old thesis brought out for publication by a students without giving it to read to his supervisor. This format is unacceptable for publication, except the journal policy accepts such Good public health paper, but poorly written.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjamin Thumamo Pokam
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon