



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_54921
Title of the Manuscript:	EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDY OF ROAD TRAFFIC ACCIDENT CASES ATTENDING TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL OF KASHMIR: A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

ART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear authors</p> <p>The manuscript is important because it reveals the results of a cross-sectional epidemiological study of road traffic accidents. This way, I recommend the publication, but, some aspects should be considered by the authors: -To revise the writing of the manuscript considering Academic English writing;</p> <p>1- Abstract: I recommend that it stands the contribution of the study</p> <p>2- Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The introduction is succinct and the objective is described properly <p>3. Material and Method:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is recommended to organize it in paragraphs as it is in the introduction - The number of participants of the study should be mentioned - The questionnaire was validated or at least tested before application? I think It can be described. - Considering the ethical issues, it is recommended to clarify the number of protocol and ethical committee <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The tables should be more organized. I recommend reviewing the standard of them. <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - First paragraph: you must cite the authors in the following statement: "The cause behind this increased proportion of accidents in a young age group may be attributed to the higher mobility of this age group and also high-risk behavior like driving in high speed which is common in this specific age group". - There was no mention of the limitations of the study. It is recommended to write this. <p>Conclusion: It is recommended to state the contribution to the scientific society.</p> <p>References: Please, you should review the standards of references In general, there are grammar and spelling mistakes. It needs English reviewing.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Lara Mabelle Milfont Boeckmann</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Brasilia, Brasil</i>