



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_61344
Title of the Manuscript:	URINARY RECONSTRUCTIONS IN PATIENTS WITH LOCALLY ADVANCED RECTOSIGMOID CANCERS, A SINGLE CENTER EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to review this article. This is a retrospective review evaluating surgical outcomes from ureteral and bladder resections/reconstructions for rectal malignancies. Overall, the article has great value in the data it presents. The method, results and writing technique has flaws which I would recommend correction (Listed below).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Description of operative techniques used to perform urinary reconstruction needs to be mentioned as this would play a major role in outcomes like stricture, leak and fistula. (2) Duration of followup of patients and timing of presentation of complications needs to be made clearer. (3) Discussion needs to be a little more elaborate. In the discussion, it is not appropriate to exclude patients with biochemical leak but no symptoms – those patients should still be included in total leaks. (4) Authors should discuss hypothesis for why their complication rate is higher than published literature. (5) I would strongly recommend for a technical writer to review this article to help with grammatical errors and writing techniques. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Terence Jackson
Department, University & Country	Cleveland Clinic Akron General, USA