



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49405
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF AIR DRIED LEAF POWDER AND BURNT LEAF ASH OF DIFFERENT PLANTS ON ROOT-KNOT NEMATODE (<i>Meloidogyne</i> spp.) ON OKRA (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L . Moench)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The research article entitled, "The effect of air dried leaf powder and burnt leaf ash of different plants on root-knot nematode (<i>Meloidogyne</i> spp.) on okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L . Moench)" is quite interesting. The present article is well written and discussed properly'. This article may be accepted for publication after minor revision as below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The research article title should be corrected as "The effect of air dried leaf powder and burnt leaf ash of different plants on root-knot nematode (<i>Meloidogyne</i> spp.) on okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench)" 2. Correct some typographical errors in the manuscript. 3. Follow same reference pattern as per journal standard. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>R. K. Lal</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, India</i>